

OFFICE MEMORANDUM

TO : Gaelen Felt, J-15
 FROM : Tom White, H-6
 SUBJECT: SOME NOTES ON AN OPTICAL FALLOUT ANALOGUE
 SYMBOL : H-6

DATE: June 21, 1955

407608

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

This refers to some points in your 17 June memo on the above subject.

As noted in our conversation this morning, the discrepancy between differentials was overlooked in quoting our fallrate function to you. Your equation on page 9 should be

$$F(\alpha, f) df = \frac{1}{\sigma(\alpha) \sqrt{2\pi}} \exp - \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\ln f - \ln f_m(\alpha)}{\sigma(\alpha)} \right)^2 d(\ln f)$$

so that some of your subsequent numerical calculations should be reduced by a factor f .

With regard to the values of f and σ used during most of TEAPOT, the following should be noted. We took the Weather Bureau composite model, and fitted the activity vs particle size data for each cloud layer to a log normal distribution. The fit turned out to be surprisingly good. Within reasonable error, the mean log particle diameter was a linear function of height, and the standard deviation was independent of height.

In an effort to make our machine calculation reproduce these features of the Weather Bureau model, we then used the aerodynamic theory for spherical particles to convert to effective values of f_m and σ that would put approximately the same distribution on the ground from a 40,000 foot cloud.

If one assumes that in a higher cloud, the same activity vs diameter would exist at the same fractional height, then the effective f and σ values would come out differently. It seems to me that if we want to use the Weather Bureau data, this is the only reasonable assumption that we can make. We may then want to have two sets of f and σ values; one for KT and one for MT clouds. I hope soon to have some calculations that will tell how much difference it might make.

TW:bg

Tom White

Thomas N. White

Distribution:

Si Shlaer, H-6
 B. Watt, J-16
 H. Hoerlin, J-10
 L. Gardner, GA
 A. Bond, J-7
 W. Ogle, J-DC
 G. Felt, J-15
 T. White, H-6

RG 326 US ATOMIC ENERGY
 COMMISSION

Location LANL FR 23 Box 186

Collection Records Center

Folder Fallout - Method

Analogue, Optical Fallout

COPIED/DOE
 LANL RC

71

HYPOTHETICAL CLOUD MODELS

(SUM OF FRACTIONS = UNITY)

LAYER NUMBER

1.00
8
.875
7
.750
6
.625
5
.5
4
.375
3
.250
2
1

W.B.

V.E.

COMPOSITE

SUMMARY BY TNW
6/21/55

20

UNCLASSIFIED

COPIED
LANL RC

1.00
2- .875
7- .750
6- .625
5- .50
4- .375
3- .250
2- .125

73
0.13

1.0 P (MINUTE)

10

10

5

