410011

R

^{239}Pu Uptake Estimates for Marshall Islands Residents

Presented by

Edward T. Lessard

Brookhaven National Laboratory

at

Battelle Pacific Northwest Laboratory

August 29, 1984

DESCRIPTION OF

MEASUREMENT TECHNIQUE

PERALS CHEMISTRY

PERALS SPECTROSCOPY

Recovery of Elements	
Element	% Recovery
Uranium	$<10^{-3}$
Thorium	$<10^{-3}$
Americium	$<10^{-3}$
Plutonium	88 ± 6
Bismuth	$<10^{-3}$
Radium	$<10^{-3}$
Neptunium	88 ± 6
Polonium	0.015 to 2
Lead	$<10^{-3}$ (Literature Value)


Background
ounts
40
35
30
25
20
15
10
5
0
80
90
100
110
120
130
140
Channel #


Counts
40
35
30
25
20
15
10
5
0
80
85
90
95
100
105
110
115
120
125
130
135
Channel #
238Pu Spike

Spike



Counts

Channel #

^{239}Pu 1170 fG

^{238}Pu 1094 fG

200,000 seconds

Urine From
Bikini Male



239
P
4

ounts

Channel #


Rongelap
5 years old
Counts
4
3
2
1
0
80
90
110
120
130
140
150
annel #


On Bikini 4 years
8 years old
ounts
0
1
2
3
4
5
6
80
90
100
110
120
130
140
150
Channel #


Counts
16
14
12
10
8
6
4
2
0
80

Rongelap Urine Sample Results

Laboratory	Collection Date, Sample Type	^{239}Pu fCi l^{-1}
EML	Spring 1973, 11 Adults	210 to 1,700 (Range)
EML	October, 1976, 1 Adult	14 ± 7 (Counting Error)
EML	October, 1976, Pooled	9 ± 2 (Counting Error)
LASL	October 1977, 1 Adult	90 (MDL 10)
LASL	October 1977, 2 Adults	<10 (MDL 10)
BNL (PERALS)	July 1982, 7 Year-Old	-2.7 ±2.0 (One Sigma Counting Error)
BNL (PERALS)	July 1982, 8 Year-Old	21 ± 13 (One Sigma Counting Error)
BNL (PERALS)	July 1982, 5 Year-Old	57 ± 50 (One Sigma Counting Error)
BNL (PERALS)	July 1982, Adult	-3.0 ± 2.7 (One Sigma Counting Error)
BNL (PERALS)	July 1982, Adult	-3.6 ± 3.7 (One Sigma Counting Error)

BNL Comparison Samples, PERALS

Location	Collection Date, Sample Type	Sample Alpha Activity[1] fCi l^{-1} ±One Sigma Counting Error
Majuro	July 1982, 1 Adult	200 ± 19
Kili	July 1982, 1 Adult	-4.5 ± 7.7
Majuro	July 1982, 1 Adult	1,100 ± 50
Majuro	July 1982, 1 Adult	140 ± 20

[1]Possible Po contamination. Peak spread over ^{239}Pu, ^{210}Po and ^{238}Pu region of spectra. These people have never been to Rongelap, Utirik, Bikini or Enewetak.


5.12
4
3
2
1

Bikini Urine Sample Results

Laboratory	Collection Date, Sample Type	^{239}Pu fCi l^{-1}
EML	Spring 1970, Pooled	3 (Comparison 3)
EML	Spring 1971, Pooled	4
EML	Spring 1974, 10 Adults	9 to 60 (Range)
EML	Fall 1975, Pooled	11 ± 2 (Counting Error)
EML	Spring 1976, Pooled	9 ± 2 (Counting Error)
EML	Fall 1976, Pooled	3 ± 2 (Counting Error)
EML	Fall 1976, 3 Adults	4.4 ± 1.4 (Mean ± 1 S.D.)
BNWL	Spring 1977, 8 Adults	0.73 ± 0.53 (Mean ± 1 S.D.)
LASL	Spring 1977, 3 Adults	<10 (MDL 10)
BNL & ORNL (PERALS)	Summer 1980, 10 Samples From One Pool	<12 ± 2.8 (Mean ± 1 S.D.)
BNL (PERALS)	Summer 1982, 8 Year-Old (4 Years On Bikini)	170 ± 38 (One Sigma Counting Erro
BNL (PERALS)	Summer 1982, 6 Year-Old (3 Months On Bikini)	22 ± 4.2 (One Sigma Counting Erro
BNL (PERALS)	Summer 1982, 6 Year-Old (3 Years On Bikini)	<1.0 ± 3.5 (One Sigma Counting Error)

DESCRIPTION OF CALCULATIONS



At some variable time τ defined during a fixed intake interval T, the activity uptake rate to systemic organs is defined as $U(\tau)$



The whole-body retention of an element of activity taken up at time $d\tau$ is

$$r_s\ (t - \tau)$$

where

r_s is the systemic whole-body retention for ^{239}Pu.

The activity taken up at $d\tau$ and remaining at t is

$$U\ (\tau)\ r_s\ (t - \tau)\ d\tau.$$



Since the retention of each element of uptake is independent of all others, the activity taken up during the whole intake interval which remains at t is

$$q(t) = \int_0^t U(\tau)\, r_s\, (t - \tau)\, d\tau \qquad (1)$$

The rate of change of q(t) will be the result of flow rates into and out of the systemic region

$$\frac{dq(t)}{dt} = U(t) - E(t) - \lambda q(t) \qquad (2)$$

where E(t) = systemic excretion rate,

$\lambda q(t)$ = decay rate of systemic activity, and

U(t) = systemic uptake rate.



Differentiating Equation 1

$$\frac{dq(t)}{dt} = U(t)\ r_s(o) + \int_o^t U(\tau)\ \frac{dr_s(t-\tau)}{dt}\ d\tau$$

By definition

$$r_s(t-\tau) = R_s\ (t-\tau)\ e^{-\lambda(t-\tau)}.$$

Therefore

$$\frac{dq\ (t)}{dt} = U(t) + \int_o^t U(\tau)\ \frac{dR_s(t-\tau)}{dt}\ e^{-\lambda(t-\tau)}d\tau - \lambda q\ (t) \qquad (3)$$



Comparing Equation 3 to Equation 2 term by term yields

$$E(t) = -\int_0^t U(\tau) \frac{dR_s(t-\tau)}{dt} e^{-\lambda(t-\tau)} d\tau$$

In our case

$$R_s(t-\tau) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} A_i e^{-k_i(t-\tau)}$$

where A_i and k_i are constants.



Combining terms

$$E_u(t) = f_u\, U(o) \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[A_i k_i \left(\frac{e^{-\lambda c(T+\Phi)} - e^{-(k_i+\lambda)(T+\Phi)}}{\lambda + k_i + \lambda_c} \right) \right]$$

To estimate E_u we measure the activity concentration and multiply it by the age-dependent urine excretion rate. We calculate the activity uptake rate and integrate over the exposure interval.


BIKINI ADULTS Cs-137
MEAN Cs-137 BODY BURDEN, μ Ci
3.2
2.8
2.4
2.0
1.6
1.2
0.8
0.4
0
118.0
104.0
88.8
74.0
59.2
44.4
29.6
14.8
0.0
MEAN Cs-137 BODY BURDEN, KBq
August '78
Departure
Maximum Body Burden
Observed = 3 x Mean Value
Standard Deviation =
± 0.3 x Mean Value
BNL Estimate
BNL Whole Body
Count
4-74
4-77
4-78
1-79
5-79
8-80
DATE (yr)

ADULT BODY-BURDEN HISTORY AT RONGELAP ATOLL



^{239}Pu Uptake Based On

ICRP 30 Excretion Model

Uptake Regime	λ_c	Estimated Systemic Uptake nCi
Constant Continuous	0	0.46
Declining Continuous	2×10^{-3}	1.2
Declining Continuous	2×10^{-4}	0.58
Increasing Continuous	-2×10^{-3}	0.35

Model	^{239}P Different Constan Re
ICRP 30 $f_u = 0.5$	$0.45e^{-4.8 \times 10^{-5}t} + 0.45e^{-1}$
Moss $f_u = 0.916$	$0.0108e^{-0.44t} + 0.0115e^{-5.0}$
Durbin $f_u = 0.107$	$0.0664e^{-0.58t} + 0.089e^{-0.13}$ $+0.122e^{-2.3 \times}$
Leggett $f_u = 0.5$	$0.012e^{-0.69t} + 0.02e^{-3 \times 10}$ $+0.926e^{-2.2 \times}$

Range of Uptake Estimates

Model	Uptake Regime	Uptake, nCi
Moss	Increasing Continuous	0.01
Durbin	Declining Continuous	2.2

Bikini Adults

^{239}Pu Committed Dose Estimates[1], rem

Model	Bone Surface	Liver	Red Marrow	Effective
ICRP30	35	7.3	2.7	1.9
Leggett	49	10.	3.7	2.7
Durbin	49	10.	3.8	2.7
Moss	4.6	0.96	0.35	0.25

[1]Based on: T = 2617 days,
Ω = 730 days,
U(τ) = constant,
C(t) = 12 fCi l^{-1}
P = 1.4 l day^{-1}, and
ICRP30 values for committed dose per unit uptake.

[redacted]

	mrem y^{-1}	
UCRL-52853 Pt. 4		
Rongelap (southern islands)	35 to 135	0.76 to 2.5
Utirik	3 to 29	0.25 to 0.72
	Mean/Maximum Effective Dose Equivalent Rate,[1] mrem y^{-1}	Mean/Maximum Committed Effective Dose Equivalent,[1] mrem
BNL, Health Physics V46,3, 1984		
Rongelap (southern islands)	50/120	0.64/1.2
Utirik	12/29	0.17/0.43

[1]Does not include Pu.

REPOSITORY PNNL

COLLECTION Marshall Islands

BOX No. 5690

FOLDER Enewetak

DOCUMENT DOES NOT CONTAIN ECI

Reviewed by DJ Kucko Date 5/1/97