CA1 ADMU 8884 OCT. 82, 72

ZNR UUUUU

P 8222277 OCT 72

PH MAHLON E GATES MGR USAEC MVOO LAS VEGAS NEV TO BY3/MAJ GEN FRANK A CAMM AGMMA USAEC WASHDC

INFO BYS/MARTIN R HOFFMANN GENERAL COUNSEL USAEC WASHDC

BY3/JOHN A HARRIS DIR OIS USAEC WASHDC

BY3/MARTIN B BILES DOS USAEC WASHDC BY3/DR JOHN R TOTTER DIR DB&ER USAEC WASHDC

THIS MESSAGE IN FOUR PARTS.

PART ONE: NV PACIFIC SUPPORT OFFICE HAS RECEIVED THE FOLLOWING LETTER FROM MATTOCH, EDMUNDS, KEMPER AND BROWN, ATTORNEYS AND MICRONESIAN

COUNSELORS:

"THIS IS FORMAL NOTICE TO YOU THAT THE PACE PROJECT HAS BEEN ORDERED STOPPED BY THE FEDERAL COURT YOU WILLSEE, HOWEVER, THAT THE ORDER PERMITS A RADIOLOGICAL SURVEY BY THE ATOMIC ENERGY COMMISSION

TO CONTINUE.

"WE AGREED TO THIS PROVISION ON THE ASSUMPTION THAT THE SURVEY

WOULD HAVE NO ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT. WILL YOU PLEASE RESPOND

3108/7238/pd/BE. Mary

CONFIRMED TO BE UNCLASSIFIED AUTROAITY: DOE/SA-20 PALBY R.A. CARPENTER, DATE: 6.16-94

PAGE 2 CAI ADMU 000 UNCLAS/OFFECTAR USE UNLY/
MMEDIATELY TO TELL WE IF THIS ASSUMPTION IS CORRECT. I WOULD APPRECIATE A SUFFICIENTLY DETAILED RESPONSE DESCRIBING THE SURVEY OF THAT I CAN MAKE AN INDEPENDENT JUDGMENT ABOUT ITS POTENTIAL PAGE.

PLEASE ADDRESS YOUR RESPONSE TO ME C/O BOYCE R. BROWN, MATTOCH, EDMUNDS, KEMPER & BROWN, SUITE 1461, 841 BISHOP STREET, HONOLULU, HAVAII 96813.

PART TWO: I AM CONCERNED ABOUT THE POSSIBLE IMPACT OF OUR ANSWER TO

THIS LETTER FOR TWO REASONS:

A. IT COULD SUGGEST THAT WE CONCEDE THAT WE ARE OBLIGATED TO SATISFY SOME PRIVATE INTEREST AS TO THE ADEQUACY OR ACCEPTABILITY OF

OUR PLANNED ACTIONS. THIS MIGHT CARRY OVER WITH MORE SERIOUS.

DIPLICATIONS TO THE DOD CLEANUP EFFORT.

B. NO MATTER HOW CAREFULLY WE DESCRIBE THE SURVEY IN ADVANCE, WE MAY ANTICIPATE THAT WE WILL MAKE SOME CHANGES WHILE IT IS IN PROGRESS. WE MAY, FOR EXAMPLE, FIND IT FEASIBLE AND DESIRABLE TO CONDUCT SOME FORM OF AERIAL MONITORING AND MAPPING EFFORT, THOUGH THIS IS NOT NOW A PART OF OUR PLAN. NOTE ALSO THAT THE LETTER DOES NOT ACKNOWLEDGE THE PLAN FOR A CONCURRENT DOD ENGINEERING SURVEY.

PART THREE: ACKNOWLEDGING THAT SOME RESPONSE IS REQUIRED, I

3108/7338/00 138 0720





PASE 3 CAT ADMU 80 UNCLAS OF THE POLICY ING 1

DEAR MR. MITCHELLS

"INIS IS IN RESPONSE TO YOUR LETTER OF SEPT. 26, 1972, REQUESTING MALIDATION OF YOUR ASSUMPTION THAT OUR FORTHCOMING RADIOLOGICAL SURVEY OF ENIMETOK WILL HAVE NO ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT.

"YOUR ASSUMPTION IS CORRECT. WE EXPECT TO HAVE A PARTY OF CLIENTISTS AND TECHNICIANS VISIT THE ATOLL FROM ABOUT MID-OCTOBER ON INTO DECEMBER TO GATHER INFORMATION ON THE TOTAL RADIOLOGICAL ENVIRONMENT. THEY WILL OBTAIN FOR LABORATORY ANALYSIS NMEROUS SHALL SOIL SAMPLES (A FEW OUNCES EACH), WATER AND BOTTOM SAMPLES, AND SAMPLES OF VEGETATION AND LAND AND MARINE ANIMALS, AND WILL TAKE INSTRUMENT READINGS THROUGHOUT THE ATOLL. THEIR ACTIONS IN CONDUCTING THE FIELD SURVEY WILL IN NO SIGNIFICANT OR LASTING WAY AFFECT THE ENVIRONMENT OF ENIMETOK."

PART FOUR IF YOU CONCUR, REQUEST YOU COURDINATE AS APPROPRIATE AT INTERAGENCY LEVEL AND AUTHORIZE ME TO RESPOND.

BT ØØØ4

07203

3108/7234/10137

