



UNITED STATES  
ENERGY RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT ADMINISTRATION  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20545

*marsh file*

August 12, 1977

James L. Liverman, AES

ENEWETAK REVIEW

Pursuant to conversations with Ken Cowser, Bill Burr, and Joe Deal, I have proceeded to organize an immediate review of the Enewetak situation. Attached is a list of the attendees and a tentative agenda. The meeting will be held at the Nevada Operations Office and is expected to last through the week of August 15-19. We have kept DNA fully informed; they are most concerned about this activity at this late time (see memo to you from Joe Deal this date re this subject).

The objectives of the review are two:

- 1) Review of AEC recommendations for clean-up and rehabilitation of Enewetak Atoll, specifically the criteria for Pu-239 in soil;
- 2) Review of environmental and health implications of, and long-term monitoring requirements for, disposal of contaminated debris and soil.

The review group will be requested to either endorse the present criteria and plans, or to present alternate recommendations with justification. Before the meeting disbands, I would look to have a report available for your consideration.

The potential exposure of the Enewetakese from soil contamination has been addressed in the past. Therefore it should be possible to review this material, relate it to potential exposure of the native lifestyles under varying degrees of constraint, derive potential health consequences resulting therefrom, and arrive at some conclusions as to the acceptability of the clean-up criteria. As of August 11, however, the risk acceptability factor upon which the EPA transuranic guidance is based has been challenged within EPA. ORP unofficially advises that the guidance itself will not change; Rowe has not yet endorsed this, however. (See accompanying memo). I requested of EPA/ORP an

*File Folder - 2.8#2*

*Nov 1976 - 77*


1011981

August 12, 1977

opinion regarding their position re the clean-up. (You may recall that they signed off on the EIS with the caveat "until such time as EPA provides Federal guidance," or words to that effect.) Consistently EPA has told DNA and ERDA that Enewetak probably won't be affected by their guidance; nothing is in writing, however. At the moment it is not clear what, if anything, will happen to the TRU guidance, and, despite my request, I do not expect any enlightenment from EPA prior to the meeting next week. They do continue to state, however, that the current Enewetak criteria are not unreasonable.

The second objective may be more difficult, if indeed it is even possible. No one in ERDA knows the details of the military plans for disposal (hence the briefing on Monday), and there has never been an assessment made of the potential health and environmental consequences of such disposal. In a letter from you to Gen. Johnson dated April 10, 1975, it was stated that we assumed that EPA had done this since they opposed ocean dumping and advocated crater disposal, but we are not aware of any such assessment. It is unrealistic to expect this review group to make such an assessment in a few days time. However, I expect they will be able to offer an opinion as to the adequacy of the disposal plans, or, perhaps, a statement as to why it is not possible to assess their adequacy.

I feel that we have some of the best people in the country to conduct this review, and, despite the short notice, time constraints, and a large quantity of material to digest, I expect they will provide you with appropriate guidance.



Bruce W. Wachholz, Ph.D.  
Office of Environmental  
Policy Analysis

Attachment:  
As stated

cc: Dr. Burr  
Mr. Deal  
Dr. Coleman  
Dr. Cowser  
Mr. McCraw  
Dr. Watters  
Dr. Weyzen  
Mr. Hollister  
Mr. Facer

1011988

REVIEW OF ENEWETAK CLEAN-UP CRITERIA AND DISPOSAL

NEVADA OPERATIONS OFFICE

August 15-19, 1977

PARTICIPANTS

William J. Bair, Ph.D., Chairman  
Manager, Biomedical and Environmental Programs  
Battelle - Pacific Northwest Laboratory

Chester W. Francis, Ph.D.  
Soil Scientist, Environmental Sciences Division  
Oak Ridge National Laboratory

John H. Harley, Ph.D.  
Director, Health and Safety Laboratory  
U.S. Energy Research and Development Administration

John W. Healy  
Assistant Leader, H-Division  
Los Alamos Scientific Laboratory

Roger O. McClellan, D.V.M.  
Director, Inhalation Toxicology Research Institute  
Lovelace Foundation for Medical Education and Research

Victor E. Noshkin, Ph.D.  
Section Leader for Marine Sciences, Environmental Sciences Division  
Lawrence Livermore Laboratory

William Ogle, Ph.D.  
3801 W. 44th Avenue  
Anchorage, Alaska 99503

William L. Templeton  
Associate Manager, Ecosystems Department  
Battelle - Pacific Northwest Laboratory

Roy C. Thompson, Ph.D.  
Senior Staff Scientist, Biology Department  
Battelle - Pacific Northwest Laboratory

Joseph Trimble, Ph.D.  
Research Scientist  
Battelle Human Affairs Research Center, Seattle

Observers

William W. Burr, M.D.  
Deputy Director, Division of Biomedical and Environmental Research  
U.S. Energy Research and Development Administration

L. Joe Deal  
Assistant Director for Field Operations  
Division of Operational and Environmental Safety  
U.S. Energy Research and Development Administration

Tommy F. McCraw  
Division of Operational and Environmental Safety  
U.S. Energy Research and Development Administration

Roger Ray  
Assistant Manager for Environment and Safety  
Nevada Operations Office  
U.S. Energy Research and Development Administration

Lt. Col. Edwin T. Still, D.V.M., USAF  
Research Program Coordinator  
Armed Forces Radiobiology Research Institute  
Defense Nuclear Agency

Bruce W. Wachholz, Ph.D.  
Office of the Assistant Administrator for Environment and Safety  
U.S. Energy Research and Development Administration

Guests - Defense Nuclear Agency

Major General William E. Shedd, USA  
Deputy Director for Operations and Administration

Brig. General Grayson D. Tate, USA  
Commander, Field Command

Col. John Hemler, USA  
Director of Operations, Field Command

Lt. Col. Manuel Sanches, USA  
Logistics Directorate, Field Command

Mr. Thomas Flora  
Logistics Directorate, Field Command

Mr. Milton E. Stevens  
Logistics Directorate, Headquarters

AGENDA

Monday, August 15, 1977

8:30

Introduction - Bruce W. Wachholz

8:45

Background - Joe Deal

9:45

Development and Application of Clean-up Criteria - Tommy McCraw

10:45

Break

11:00

Operational Viewpoint - Roger Ray

12:15

Lunch - Cafeteria

1:30

Defense Nuclear Agency

Introduction - Maj. Gen. Shedd

Review of Crater Containment Operations and Disposal Plans - Col. Hemler

Review of EIS - Mr. Stevens

Closing Remarks - Maj. Gen. Shedd

Tuesday - Friday, August 16-19

Activities of the Review Committee - William J. Bair

1011992