I. I. Bradbury

A. C. Braves

ACTIVATION OF BIKINI FOR TESTS

J-12333

400830

In your lotter to the Manager, dated h June 1952, on the subject of air drops in Operation Castle, you implied that it was desirable for the Joint Task Force to retain the espability for making air drops because that capability might make the use of Mikini Atoll unnecessary. I do not agree with this statement completely and fear that it may make it posewhat more difficult for us to obtain the use of Rikini if it should prove DOCCSSSTY.

In the past, tests at Eniwetok have been considered undesirable chiefly from a cost or logistic point of view. It has become increasingly elear in recent years that an even more important limitation on that site is the available real estate. The fourth test in Operation Greenhouse was a major effort. In Ivy an attempt to combine a test of a large fission device with a thermonuclear device is proving most difficult. If one compares this situation with that at Nevada during the Tumbler/Snapper series where the addition of a possible minth shot, while undesirable, was no tremendous additional load, it becomes clear that the lack of real estate at Eniwetok is seriously limiting the number of tests which can be expected there in a given period. It is not clear to me that the limitation of 30 kilotons for a tower shot at Nevada will prove to be an acceptable limitation for all component tests.

Although I am not yet ready to recommend that Bikini be reactivated to a sufficient extent to permit some tests to be done on that Atoll, I believe the Laboratory should take the position that it may so recommend in the near fature and strongly oppose any decision which might jeopardise that possibility, such for example as the return of natives to that Atoll.

> Original signed by ALVIN C. GRAVES

ALVIN C. GRAVES

ACG: W σ Distribution: 14 - N. E. Bradbury 2A - N. E. Bradbury ത 3A - A. C. Graves < S 4A - J Div Sequence 5A - Mail & Records

Eacry Act of 1946. Its transmi ny manner to mauits content

the zed person is prohibited,



DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY DECLASSIFICATION REVIEW 1ST REVIEW-DATE: 6-20-97

DETERMINATION (CIRCLE NUMBER(S))

1. CLASSIFICATION RETAINED

1. CLASSIFICATION REVIEW

1. CLASSIFICATION REVIEW NAME: 7. OTHER (SPECIFY): PAGE(S)

THIS DOCUMENT CONSISTS OF 3 COPIES, SERIES A