

This document consists of 2 pages.

No. 3 of 4 copies Series A

~~SECRET~~  
~~SECURITY INFORMATION~~

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY DECLASSIFICATION REVIEW	
1ST REVIEWER-DATE: [redacted]	DETERMINATION (CIRCLE NUMBER)
AUTHORITY: [redacted]	1. CLASSIFICATION RETAINED
NAME: [redacted]	2. CLASSIFICATION CHANGED TO: [redacted]
2ND REVIEWER-DATE: [redacted]	3. CONTAINS NO DOE CLASSIFIED INFO
AUTHORITY: [redacted]	4. COORDINATE WITH: [redacted]
NAME: [redacted]	5. CLASSIFICATION CANCELLED
	6. CLASSIFIED INFO BRACKETED

July 6, 1953

CRM FILE BC i



DD 64848

TO: A. C. Graves

FROM: Carson Mark

SUBJECT: COMMENT (AS OF JULY 1, 1953) ON EXPECTED BEHAVIOR OF CASTLE DEVICES

SYMBOL: T-505

*NMB-U*

No specifically relevant yield calculation has been made for any of the Castle devices as of this date, and it will be several months yet before such calculations can be expected. There have been a number of parameter studies of the variation of yield with compression, with mixing, etc., but some of these (notably the ones containing Li) are known to contain errors (which are in process of being corrected) in addition to the great oversimplifications necessarily introduced into such calculations. The problem of designing the particular devices has been approached simply by trying to place ourselves as favorably with respect to the important parameters as conditions of weight, size, materials available, etc., would permit. Any comment at this time concerning the yield to be expected of any of these particular devices is consequently more a quotation of the yields which appear to be applicable to a device of that general sort than an estimate of the expected behavior of the particular object.

*Deleted Union Only*

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY DECLASSIFICATION REVIEW

1ST REVIEWER-DATE: 6-23-94

AUTHORITY: EAO/ [redacted]

NAME: [redacted]

2ND REVIEWER-DATE: [redacted]

DETERMINATION (CIRCLE NUMBER)

1. CLASSIFICATION RETAINED

2. CLASSIFICATION CHANGED TO: [redacted]

3. CONTAINS NO DOE CLASSIFIED INFO

4. COORDINATE WITH: [redacted]

5. CLASSIFICATION CANCELLED

6. CLASSIFIED INFO BRACKETED

This situation is a little more straightforward than indicated above - where the Mike shot results may also be used to guide an estimate; but less straightforward with respect to where neither the progress nor the effect of mixing can be cleanly estimated, but where mixing in some degree and form could interfere decisively with the operation.

*DOE*  
*DOE*

Before the Castle Operation, it is hoped to improve the nature of the estimates available; but, with the present gaps in our understanding of the processes, even the most careful calculations that can be devised will lead to estimates that are quite uncertain and with which it will be necessary to associate a wide range of possible behavior.

The current estimates of probable yields, and ranges which are believed to cover most of the present uncertainty, are given below. In connection with the ranges quoted it may be worth mentioning that these ranges merely reflect the lack of precision in our present understanding of these objects, and are not to be taken as a probable spread in the yield realized by a particular device from shot to shot. There is no reason to believe that the yield obtained from a particular object of this kind would not be about as reproducible as the yields of fission weapons appear to be.

*3513*

~~RESTRICTED DATA~~

This document contains restricted data as defined by the Atomic Energy Act of 1954. Its transmission in any form or its content in any manner to an unauthorized person is prohibited.

~~SECRET~~  
~~SECURITY INFORMATION~~

5005877

~~SECRET~~

- on the basis of comparison with Ivy.

DOE  
DOE

May be lower: \_\_\_\_\_ or higher because of expected better simultaneity than Mike.

Presumed range: 6 - 10.

\_\_\_\_\_ probable yield.

DOE

This allows for some, but not decisive, interference from mixing.

\_\_\_\_\_ The yield might turn out higher if mixing is very mild, and 6 is proposed, - not as an absolute upper limit on what is possible, - but as an upper limit on what is expected.

DOE

Presumed range: 1 - 6.

\_\_\_\_\_

The behavior of this device is highly uncertain due to

DOE

\_\_\_\_\_ the yield could run higher; but 7 seems as high as could reasonably be considered probable.

DOE

Presumed range: 1-1/2 - 7.

\_\_\_\_\_

DOE

At the upper end, 8 is proposed, not as an absolute limit, but as an upper limit on what is expected.

DOE

Presumed range: 4 - 8.

~~SECRET~~

3514

~~SECRET~~

In the considerations outlined here, no weight has been put on the possibility of

DOE

that the yield realized be in the region of tens or hundreds of kilotons rather than megatons.

When it may become necessary or possible to revise or improve the estimates given above, a supplement to this comment will be prepared.

*Carson Mark*

Carson Mark *li*

CM:li

Distribution:

1A--A. C. Graves

2A--M. G. Holloway

3A--N. E. Bradbury ←

4A--T-Division Office File

3515

5005879

~~SECRET~~