

PHYSIOLOGICAL EFFECTS OF MULTIPLE ATOMIC BOMB DETONATIONS

February 11, 1952

R

403990

1. General Considerations

The original reports by Dr. Nicholas M. Smith, Jr. on Project Gabriel (November 12, 1949 and November 5, 1951) concluded that 10^5 nominal bombs could be exploded without resulting in large-scale disasters from secondary effects. This conclusion was based on assumptions of high air bursts and some distribution of bursts in space and time, and was derived from considerations of the immediate as well as delayed effects of radiation and of strontium ingestion resulting from widespread fall-out. The Gabriel reports have been extended through a staff study to consider more localized regional effects which might result from the tactical use of atomic weapons under various weather conditions.

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

2. Long-Range Effects of High Air Bursts

2.1 A basic figure for the calculations is that a fraction 5×10^{-9} of each bomb will fall out per square mile -- a figure which allows for a local variation of ten times over the observed average. Stokes' Law is considered not to be valid for sub-micron particles of bomb debris, with the result that fall-out cannot be accurately calculated. Ranger data indicated a fractional fall-out between 5×10^{-9} and 5×10^{-11} per square mile. More elaborate Buster studies indicated an average fractional fall-out of 4×10^{-9} per square mile over the United States. If the debris were distributed uniformly over the north temperate zone, the average would be 5×10^{-9} per square mile.

DOE 5650.2, III-12
CLASSIFICATION CANCELLED
BY AUTHORITY OF DDE/OC
JOSE DIAZ 4-17-81
WILBUR H. STRAUER 4-20-81
REVIEWED BY DATE

By: Dick KOOGLE 6-12-87

CONFIRMED TO BE UNCLASSIFIED
DDE/OFFICE OF DECLASSIFICATION
M. L. KOLDAV, A.D.C. 4/21/84



OPENNE
 Authorized for Public Release
By: _____ Date: _____
Entered In OpenNet
By: YES Date: NV0026627
 Not Authorized for Public Release
By: _____ Date: _____

skeletons is 10^{-3} . These aspects as well as factors of biologic concentration need much more study and experimentation.

2.5 Conclusion. By the above discussion involving long-range radiation and ingestion hazards, 10^5 nominal bombs is believed to be a reasonably safe number, with an uncertainty factor of 10--100.

3. Localized and Regional Effects Resulting from Tactical Use

3.1 Near Surface Bursts

3.1.1 Experience with tower, surface and underground bursts indicates that a large fraction of the total radioactivity (of the order of half) is plated on materials which are swept up from the ground and deposited within relatively short distances. The active areas are the crater, an adjacent area downwind, and a more remote area some miles downwind into which particles of sizes of the order of 75 microns will fall.

Data from Trinity and Jangle are extrapolated, as shown in Table 3.1, on the basis of the following assumptions:

- (a) Activity is proportional to energy yield.
- (b) Dusts for a 13-KT shot will go twice as high as in Jangle, and for a 20-KT shot 2.25 times as high as in Jangle (to give results comparable to Trinity).
- (c) Principal mode of fall-out is gravitational.
- (d) Atmospheric conditions are as in Jangle.

These assumptions lead to the conclusion that the fall-out pattern will be geometrically similar to that of Jangle, of twice the linear dimensions and four times the area for a 13-KT shot.

Extrapolation of Fall-out Patterns from 1.2 KT Jungle Shots to
13 KT and 20 KT Near-Surface Bursts, under Similar
Topographical and Meteorological Conditions

Area	Dimensions and Distance	Time	Radiation Levels (r/hr)		Integrated Dose (r)		
			Maximum	Average	Maximum	Average	
JUNGLE Crater	S, 94 ft	H+24		100		12,000	
	E, 280 ft	H+15d		4			
	U, 2mi X 120°	H+1 H+24	500 6		2500 720		
Adjacent hot area							
Remote hot area	U, center at 13 mi 8mi X 5mi	H+1	33	3-4	165	15-20	
13 KT Crater	Diameter 500ft	H+24 H+15d		100 4		12,000	
	Adjacent hot area	4mi X 120°	H+1/2 H+1 H+24	3,000 1,250 30	1,200 500 12	7,500 6,250 3,600	3,000 2,500 1,440
	Remote hot area	25 mi 125 mi ²	H+2	50	5	500	50
20 KT Crater	Diameter 600ft	H+24 H+15d		100 4			
	Adjacent hot area	4.5mi X 120°	H+1/2 H+1 H+24	3,500 1,500 38	1,400 600 15	8,800 7,500 4,500	3,500 3,000 1,800
	Remote hot area	30 mi 150 mi ²	H+2	60	6	600	60

Note: We believe these estimates are accurate within order of magnitude, but refinement is impractical because of variations in soil, topography and meteorology.

~~CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION~~

3.12 Conclusion. Considering the three hot areas resulting from a near-ground burst, it appears that personnel would be excluded from the crater for many days. Fall-out in good weather over about 17 square miles adjacent to a 13-KT burst (or 21 for 20 KT) would result in integrated doses of several thousand r, with levels high enough to limit use of the area for some days. It is unlikely that any serious personnel damage would result from the remote area of fall-out about 25 miles away, with the possible exception of beta ray burns from particles falling directly on the skin. Tactical use of multiple bombs under these conditions would lead to limited areas of significant contamination.

3.2 Fall-out With Snow or Rain

3.21 It is assumed (without sufficient actual evidence) that snow and probably rain will remove debris almost completely from air in which these precipitations are formed, and probably from air through which they fall. Table 3.2 was calculated, showing maximum possible fall-out due to weather conditions as an atomic cloud spreads through a 10° wedge. Under extreme weather conditions, the wash-out from a 13-KT bomb would be potentially lethal to a large fraction of the inhabitants in an area of considerably less than 100 square miles (taking 400 r as the mid-lethal dose).

~~CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION~~

3.22 Note: Since the preparation of Table 3.2, Col. Benjamin Holzman (now at the War College) has discussed with us a somewhat similar, but extended, approach. He obtained actual wind trajectories taken at 12-hour intervals over a period of a week, and originating at a point in central Germany. Extending each trajectory until precipitation was encountered, then calculating fall-out as in Table 3.2, he shows a pattern of fall-out. The obvious extension of this method is to prepare such a pattern for each of the four seasons, and thereby obtain a probability pattern. Precipitation data are available for much of Northwestern Europe.

3.23 Note: The highest wash-out obtained during Buster-Jangle was 84 curies per square mile at Rochester, New York. The lowest level recommended by the Noyes Panel for Radiologic Warfare is 3 megacuries per square mile.

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~