

ATOLLS UPON WHICH SIGNIFICANT NUCLEAR FALLOUT COULD HAVE OCCURRED
FROM THE
PACIFIC PROVING GROUNDS
DURING
ATMOSPHERIC TESTING

DRAFT

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

407593



DOE ARCHIVES

42

In light of recent developments on BIKINI and ENIWETOK atolls it is prudent that some consideration be given to fallout from the Pacific Proving Grounds which may have been carried to other atolls during the period of atmospheric testing. (11-10-55)

Fallout patterns and other pertinent data on the various nuclear tests are very limited. However, hodographs are known for nearly all of the tests conducted in the Pacific. These hodographs and available fallout patterns have been ~~studied~~ ^{studied} to discern which events may have had ^{significant} fallout on Pacific atolls. Those hodographs and fallout patterns which ~~indicate~~ ^{indicate} ~~positive fallout~~ have positive indications or suggest significant fallout on these atolls are indicated, as well as the source of such information.

Due to the intensive fallout from the CASTLE BRAVO event on RONGELAP and UTIRIK atolls, some effort was made in the past to investigate the radioactive deposition on these and a few other atolls in the fallout pattern. Unfortunately, the utility of these investigations is limited due to the ^{small number of} atolls visited, the now primitive treatment of the samples (gross gamma, gross beta, and other crude evaluations), and age of the survey. Only on RONGELAP, BIKINI and ENIWETOK atolls have any recent studies been undertaken. The rest of the fallout area ^{apparently has} ~~seems to have~~ been ignored.

Utilizing various reports, fallout patterns and hodographs, this investigator has evaluated the data available, realizing its limitations, and thus the limitations of such a study, and suggests that possible significant ^{fallout} fallout has occurred on several atolls which do not appear to have been investigated previously. This fallout, or the hodographs suggesting it, is presented as figures with other pertinent information presented in tabular form for brevity.

DOE ARCHIVE

COMMENTS ON SOURCE INFORMATIONFallout Patterns

The source documents (^{listed} indicated in the References portion of this report) indicate the ~~dose~~^{gamma}-rate contours for the fallout patterns have been drawn to show the gamma ~~dose~~^{rate} in roentgens per hour, three feet above the ground, in terms of the one hour after burst reference time. The $t^{-1.2}$ approximation was used when no actual decay data was available to adjust radiation measurements to the one hour reference time. It is important to recognize the H + 1 hour is used as a reference time, and that only the contours from low yield were complete at one hour after burst. For high yield weapons, fallout over some parts of the vast areas shown did not commence until many hours after burst.

Where several fallout patterns were available for a particular event, each has been presented.

Hodographs

The hodographs were drawn for a constant balloon rise rate of 5,000 ft/hr and are presented because other, more meaningful, information is not available. Several hodographs are ~~indicated~~^{presented} for the H plus times indicated by the number at the end of the arrow. This number is in H plus hours.

It is recognized that fallout did not necessarily follow the hodographs presented herein. However, a simple comparison of the CASTLE BRAVO hodographs with the actual or modeled fallout patterns will show the merit of their consideration.

DOE ARCHIVES

FINDINGS

~~Apparently~~ ^T there are eleven nuclear tests which may have deposited radioactive materials in significant amounts greater than world wide fallout on several of the Pacific Atolls. These events and the atolls they may have contaminated are indicated in tabular form in Table 1. Additionally, the fallout pattern, if available, or several hodographs are indicated in Figures ___ through ___, for each contaminating event. ~~XXXX~~ For immediate reference, the habitation of the atolls under discussion is indicated, with population figures and remarks, where applicable, in Table 2.

It is pertinent to note that in addition to ENIWETOK, BIKINI, AILINGINAE, RONGELAP, RONGERIK, BIKAR, TAKA, ~~XXX~~ UTIRIK, and LIKIEP atolls, which have been looked at by others at some time in the past, several other atolls are indicated: AILUK, JEMO, KWAJALEIN, LAE, MEJIT, TAONGI, UJAE, UJELANG, WOTHO ~~IKZ~~ and WOTJE. Since the utility of the studies ~~done~~ on BIKAR, TAKA, LIKIEP and possibly AILINGINAE ~~and~~ RONGERIK, and UTIRIK, is somewhat limited, these may also added to the exceptions above. This would mean that, including the "source" atolls of ENIWETOK and BIKINI, a total of 19 atolls may have been contaminated with significant amounts of radioactive materials. Only on three, ENIWETOK, BIKINI and RONGELAP, possibly four if UTIRIK is included, is there any useful ^{environmental} radiological data.

Since actual fallout patterns are lacking for most of the ~~contaminating-~~ ^{suspicious} events, an attempt was made to weigh the potential of each event in such a manner as to make sense. ^{As} Since the fallout pattern of the CASTLE BRAVO event is well known (actually there are three different fallout patterns available) this deposition potential was normalized to ~~that event.~~ ^{CASILE BRAVO} ~~this~~ ^{The results} treatment ^{is} presented in Table 3. The potential expressed here is really a factor, or multiplier, of the CASTLE BRAVO fallout. It may be applied simply by taking the CASTLE BRAVO deposition at a distance from the GZ ~~and~~

DOE ARCHIVES

draft

similar to the distance from GZ, along the hodograph, of the event in question, and multiplying it by the "potential" factor. The result should be a "ballpark" estimate of what fallout may have occurred at the location in question. Obviously, there is no claim to any precision or accuracy with this method. It is only offered as a ~~crude~~ mechanism to estimate the possible deposition in the absence of actual data. ~~It is a crude attempt at scaling.~~

DOE ARCHIVES

TABLE 1. POSSIBLE SIGNIFICANT NUCLEAR FALLOUT FROM PACIFIC PROVING GROUNDS, SUSPECTED ATOLLS

EVENT	ATOLL	BLACK - POSITIVE	RED - POSSIBLE
1500 FT SANDSTONE ZEBRA 5/48 T	ENIWETOK, BIKINI, AILINGINAE, RONGELAP, RONGERIK, TAKA, BIKAR, UTIRIK		X
GREENHOUSE DOG 4/51 T	ENIWETOK, UJELANG		
GREENHOUSE GEORGE 5/51 T	ENIWETOK, BIKINI, AILINGINAE, RONGELAP, RONGERIK		Y
1500 FT IVY KING 11/52 P	ENIWETOK, UJELANG, *	✓	
1500 FT CASTLE BRAVO 2/54 S	BIKINI, AILINGINAE, RONGELAP, RONGERIK, TAKA, BIKAR, AILUK, LIKIEP, JEMO, UTIRIK, WOTHO, KWAJALEIN, WOTJE		✓
CASTLE UNION 4/54 B	BIKINI, AILINGINAE, RONGELAP, RONGERIK, TAKA, BIKAR, TAONGI, UTIRIK		✓
CASTLE YANKEE 5/54 B	BIKINI, AILINGINAE, RONGELAP, RONGERIK, BIKAR, TAONGI		✓
2500 FT REDWING ZUNI 5/56 C	BIKINI, AILINGINAE, RONGELAP, RONGERIK		X
4000 FT REDWING LACROSS 5/56 S	ENIWETOK, BIKINI, AILINGINAE, RONGELAP, RONGERIK, BIKAR, TAONGI		X
HARDTACK MAGNOLIA 5/58 R	ENIWETOK, UJELANG, *	✓	
HARDTACK MAPLE 4/58 B	BIKINI, AILINGINAE, RONGELAP, RONGERIK, WOTHO, UJAE, LAE, KWAJALEIN		X

* This hodograph indicated that the fallout pattern could have extended southwest as far as Ponape and other nearby atolls.

47

DOE ARCHIVES

TABLE 2. HABITATION OF ATOLLS UNDER DISCUSSION

ATOLL or ISLAND	INHABITED (Pop.) yr.	BEING REINHABITED	UNINHABITED	REMARKS
ADLINGINAE			X	Visited by Rongelapese
AILUK	(395) 1962 ¹			
BIKAR			X	
BIKINI		X		
ENIWETOK		X		
JEMO			X	
KWAJALEIN	(>1000) 1973 ²			
LAE	(133) 1962 ¹			
LIKIEP	(662) 1962 ¹			
MEJIT	(203) 1962 ¹			
RONGELAP	(208) 1962 ¹			
RONGERIK			X	Visited by Rongelapese
TAKA			X	Visited by Utirikese
TAONGI			X	
UAE	(146) 1962 ¹			

DOE ARCHIVES

48

TABLE 2. Continued

ATOLL or ISLAND	INHABITED (Pop.) yr.	BEING REINHABITED	UNINHABITED	REMARKS
UJELANG	(340) 1973 ³			
UTIRIK	(319) 1962 ¹			
WOTHO	(56) 1962 ¹			
WOTJE	(463) 1962 ¹			

DOE ARCHIVES

¹ , SAILING DIRECTIONS FOR THE PACIFIC ISLANDS, H. O. Pub. No. 82, Vol. I., U. S. Naval Oceanographic Office, 1964, (Chapter 5, Marshall Islands), Change 4 Incorporated, 5 December 1970.

² Henderson, John W., et. al., AREA HANDBOOK FOR OCEANIA, U. S. Government Printing Office, Washington, 1971, p. 503.

³ Tobin, J. A., THE ENEWETAK ATOLL PEOPLE, Special Report for the Radiological Survey of 1972-1973, Majuro, 20 April 1973, p. 10.

49

TABLE 3. DEPOSITION POTENTIAL NORMALIZED TO BRAVO

EVENT	POTENTIAL
✓ SANDSTONE ZEBRA	0.002
GREENHOUSE DOG	0.010
GREENHOUSE GEORGE	0.025
✓ IVY KING	0.069
✓ CASTLE BRAVO	1.000
✓ CASTLE UNION	0.720
✓ CASTLE YANKEE	1.050
REDWING ZUNI	0.070
REDWING LACROSS	0.035
✓ HARDTACK MAGNOLIA	0.007
✓ HARDTACK MAPLE	0.027

DOE ARCHIVES


TABLE 4. NORMALIZED DEPOSITION POTENTIAL APPLIED TO EACH ATOLL BY CONTAMINATING EVENT

ATOLL	WOTAKI	WOTAKI	UTIRIKI	UJELANG	UJELANG	TARAKI	TARAKI	RONGOCIS	RONGOCIS	MESTI	ZIMIEP	LBE	KUWAI	JEMO	KUWAI	BLUNJ	BLUNJ	AILUK	AILUK	AILUK	
SANDSTONE ZEBRA																					
GREENHOUSE DOG																					
GREENHOUSE GEORGE																					
IVY KING																					
CASTLE BRAVO																					
CASTLE YANKEE																					
REDWING ZUNI																					
REDWING LACROSS																					
HARDTACK MAGNOLIA																					
HARDTACK MAPLE																					

DOE ARCHIVE

51

ATOLLS ON WHICH SIGNIFICANT NUCLEAR FALLOUT COULD HAVE OCCURRED FROM THE PACIFIC PROVING GROUNDS



GRAPHIC INDEX

H.O. 82-Change 3

26

Charts shown are of the best scale charts issued to naval vessels by the U.S. Naval Oceanographic Office. Symbols in the text describing a designated locality.

abited
F=www...

52

GRAPHIC INDEX
ATOLLS ON WHICH SIGNIFICANT NUCLEAR FALLOUT COULD HAVE OCCURRED FROM THE PACIFIC PROVING GROUNDS





Chart limits shown are of the best scale charts issued to naval vessels by the U. S. Naval Oceanographic Office
 Numbers refer to the section in the text describing a designated locality.

ATOLLS EVALUATED BY DUNNING, AUGUST 1957




GRAPHIC INDEX

H.O. 82-Change 4

54

POSSIBLE SIGNIFICANT NUCLEAR FALLOUT, PACIFIC PROVING GROUNDS SANDSTONE ZEBRA




SCALE
60 NM.
MODERN
ATLANTIS

55

... one of the best scale charts issued to naval vessels by the U.S. Naval Oceanographic Office.

POSSIBLE SIGNIFICANT NUCLEAR FALLOUT, PACIFIC PROVING GROUNDS **GREENHOUSE DOG**



US
NAVY
GRAPHICS
RWS

GRAPHIC INDEX

H.O. 82—Change 3


265

Chart limits shown are of the best scale charts issued to naval vessels by the U.S. Naval Oceanographic Office. Numbers refer to the section in the text describing a designated locality.

56

DOE ARCHIVES

POSSIBLE SIGNIFICANT NUCLEAR FALLOUT, PACIFIC PROVING GROUNDS GREENHOUSE GEORGE



Some are of the best scale charts issued to naval vessels by the U.S. Naval Oceanographic Office.

POSSIBLE SIGNIFICANT NUCLEAR FALLOUT, PACIFIC PROVIC BOUNDRIES IVY KING





Chart limits shown are of the best scale charts issued to naval vessels by the U.S. Naval Oceanographic Office. Numbers refer to the section in the text describing a designated locality.

POSSIBLE SIGNIFICANT NUCLEAR FALLOUT, PACIFIC PROVING GROUNDS **CASTLE BRAVO**



GRAPHIC INDEX



H.O. 82-Change 3

(SEE CHAPTER 6)

DOE ARCH

NO. 125 VS

60



Rise rate: 5000 ft/hr



DOE ARCHIVES


Hodographs for Operation CASTLE - Shot 1 - Bravo.

POSSIBLE SIGNIFICANT NUCLEAR FALLOUT, PACIFIC PROVING GROUNDS **CASTLE BRAVO**

GRAPHIC INDEX

H.O. 82 - Change 3

265




DISTANCES OF 60 NM.


1.0
R/hat 1.0

62


Chart limits shown are of the best scale charts issued to naval vessels by the U.S. Naval Oceanographic Office. Numbers refer to the section in the text describing a designated locality.



Operation CASTLE - Shot 1 - Bravo.
 Off-site dose rate contours in r/hr at H+1 hour (f



Operation CASTLE - Shot 1 - Bravo.
 Off-site dose rate contours in r/hr at H+1 hour (NRDL).




DOE ARCHIVES

Operation CASTLE - Shot 1 - Bravo.
 Off-site dose rate contours in r/hr at H+1 hour (RAN

POSSIBLE SIGNIFICANT NUCLEAR FALLOUT, PACIFIC PROVING GROUNDS CASTLE UNION

DISTANCES
OF 60 NMs.

TO HOBOMAS
& CANTONAS



GRAPHIC INDEX

H.O. 82—Change 3

265

Chart limits shown are of the best scale charts issued to naval vessels by the U.S. Naval Oceanographic Office.
Numbers refer to the section in the text describing a designated locality.

CHAPTER 5—GRAPHIC INDEX