

ATOMIC ENERGY COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20545

410359

## JAN 96 1273

Commissioner Ramey Commissioner Larson Commissioner Doub Commissioner Boy

THRU: General Manager

#### ENIMETOK RADIOLOGICAL SURVEY

This memorandum provides information regarding current activities on Entwetok Atoll. These activities concern the surveys essential to the cleanup, rehabilitation, and resettlement of the atoll in connection with the announced return of Entwetok to the Trust Territory of the Pacific Islands (TTPI).

Th April 1947 the United Nations formally designated the former Japanese Pacific Mandates (Enivetok included) as Trust Territories to be admintoward by the Valued States. Upon written path Herition to the U.N., Faiwerek was designated a nuclear testing site in December 1947, with the first test series there, SANDSTONE, being conducted in the spring of 1948. Frior to SANDSTONE, the Enivetok people, about 106 in number, ware moved by the United States to Ujelang Atoll where they still reside, although their number has now increased to about 432. Additional test evers were conducted in the stable during the frome 1951 (CODEDFOUSE). 1952 (IVT), 1954 (CASTLE), 1956 (REDWING), and 1958 (HARDTACK - PHASE I). The last of 43 tests was in July 1958. All tests have been histed publicly.

Geographic location of the atoll is shown in Figure 1. Its remotences suggests inherent costly operations to accomplish the necessary surveys and subsequent cleanup. Figure 2 identifies the islands of the atoll and general location of the nuclear tests conducted.

On April 18, 1972; High Commissioner Johnston and Ambassador Williams jointly announced the intention of the United States to return Entwetch Atoll to the TCPI subject to retention of some allor residual trippes. Subsequently, the Papartment of Interior (DOI), Department of Defense (DOD), and APC determined that a comprehensive and coordinated program to survey and clean up Philosock Atoll must be undertaken to make Eniwates habitable. The program was divided into three phases: (1) Pre-cleanup Radialogical and Engineering Jurvey, (2) Cleanup, and (3) Rehabilitation

# BEST COPY AVAILABLE

and Resettlement. As with Bikini Atoll, responsibility for cleanup and rehabilitation rests with the DOD and DOI respectively. AEC is responsible for conducting a radiological survey, assessing the results, and establishing criteria and constraints for cleanup and rehabitation, involving other agencies, as appropriate.

- : --

 $C_{ij}$ 

Organization of the Eniwetok Radiological Survey, now order way, is the m in Figure 3. The Washington Interagency Group is charged with coordination of actions to effect overall Eniwetok Atoll objectives. The Manager, Nevada Operations Office, has been directed to plan, organize, and conduct the AEC radiological field survey to develop sufficient data in the total radiological environment of Eniwetok Atoll. Technical standards and requirements for the survey and cleanup operations will be provided by responsible divisions within AEC Meadquarters. Specifically:

 $C^{+}$ 

- (a) The Division of Biomedical and Environmental Research (DBER) has the responsibility for reviewing and guiding the preparation of a report on the radiological status of the atoll. This report will be prepared by the Data Evaluation Group at Lawrence Livermore Laboratory.
- (b) The Division of Operational Safety (DOS) shares responsibility with DBER and the Division of Military Application (DMA) for planning the curvey. PDS will provide the coordination of these plans and their extension during the survey with the Assistant General inauger for Environment and Safety (AGDES). DOS will also provide information on the survey to EPA staff at the Washington level upon request. DOS will review and evaluate all data and assessments relevant to the feasibility of various cloured methods and methods for disposal of hazardous materials. Cleanup criteria, requirements, guidelines, and environmental and health protection standards to be employed during cleanup operations will then be developed by DOS in consultation with appropriate AEC staff sections and other agencies.
- (c) The ACCEA has the overall authority and responsibility within the AEC for coordinating matters related to the rehabilitation of the Enivetok Atoll.

The radiological survey, and the interpretive effort associated with it, is a large program superimposed on a number of technically qualified organizations. Survey activities and analytical efforts by responsible organizations are reflected in Figures 4 and 5. As an example of the complex radiological situation which exists on Inivetok, one island, Runit, is shown in Figure 6 with a plot of gamma exposure rates on that island. Contamination from eight tests on Runit is measurable today. An early preliminary survey has confirmed the presence of a plutonium-bearing, sand layer outcropping on the ocean side of the island, and the existence of solid plutonium-bearing chunks, grains, and other particulates on the island surface and hear surface. Earth and debris moving activities during and after test operations have resulted in a complex radiological situation in which adjacent areas may be quite different as to levels and vertical distribution of radioactivity in soil. Data available to date indicate that radiological contamination is less severe on other islands but is sufficient to pose a considerable problem.

At a September 7, 1972, Interagency Meeting, the following agreements with respect to funding were reached:

- (a) The AEC will fund the radiological aspects of the precleanup survey, the conduct of any other radiological survey activity that might be required to understand conditions in the environment as they relate to exposures of people and developments of standards, and the conduct of periodic follow-up radiological surveys that take place after cheanup. If hater field and/or haberatory working dona by the AEC is support or cleanup, AEC should be reimbursed by BOD.
- (b) DOD would be responsible for funding the engineering portions of the precleanup survey and those monitoring and survey activities that are required to support cleanup operations and to insure safety of personnel involved in cleanup activities. DOD also would rund the later cleanup of both radiological and non-radiological activities. DOI would be responsible for funding rehabilitation costs once cleanup is completed.

Present best estimate of the cost of the AEC precleamup radiological survey is \$1.3M. Costs of subsequent studies and radiological monitoring activities are estimated to be approximately SUM per year for FY 1974 and beyond. DOD costs for cleanop and related activities are estimated at between \$20-40M and may go higher. The actual final costs are highly dependent on the amount of soil and debrie beaded to be removed and subsequent disposal methods employed. To date the DOD has committed approximately \$500K in the precleanup engineering survey.

( Carl

The initial field survey was contemplated for the period October 12-December 5, 1972. The first week of this schedule was started, but not completed, when Typhoon Olga caused a suspension of activities. Subsequently, it was necessary to revise and reschedule the survey to account for weather factors and logistic limitations. An aerial radiological and photographic survey of the atoll was accompliched November 8-25 during the period of minimal logistic support. Survey activities were resumed during the week of November 27 on a revised schedule and will now extend to mid-February 1973. The AEC radiological survey now appears to be progressing smoothly and collected samples are being returned to the CONUS for analysis. Data to date, based mainly on the results of the aerial survey, appear to be generally consistent with earlier knowledge and expectations.

> (signed) F.C.Gilbert for

> > Frank A. Camma Major General, USA Assistant General Manager for Military Application

Enclosures: Figures 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, and 6

Distribution:

A STAR STORE

and the second s	
so≻:	Chairman u/enclosures
sc:	Comr Rarey w/enclosures
sc:	Comr Larson w/enclosures
sc:	Comr Doub v/enclosures
sc:	Comr Ray w/enclosures
sc:	Gen ligr w/enclosures
2cc:	Secy w/enclosures
Acc:	DOS w/enclosures
cc:	DBER w/enclosures
6cc:	Std DMA

OSEIGE N	MATTORE	MA+PASB						
		EITT		*	FACom			
SURNAME 🕨	NEWOLEE/jwg	1/ /73	1/ /73	1/ /73	1/ /73			
DATE - Form ALC- 315 (P.ev. 5)	1 1/4//3	<u> </u>	ers-15-51455-1 415-	079				



FIGURE



ORGANTZATTON NOFTTHEERENTWETTOK WETOK NEW RUTOK



FIGUE 3

## SURVEY DETAIL

(....

# EXTERNAL DOSE AND SOIL SURVEY (3000 SAMPLES)

EXTERNAL GAMMA DOSE AND DOSE RATE SOIL SAMPLING -- CORES, SIDE WALL, AND SURFACE AERIAL MEASUREMENTS (QUICK LOOK AND PHOTO)

AIR, BIOTA, AND POTABLE WATER SURVEY (1000)

AIR PARTICULATES COLLECTION OF FOOD PLANTS AND ANIMALS RAD CHEM ANALYSIS OF WATER

AQUATIC SURVEY (900 SAMPLES)

C

BIOCHEMICAL BEHAVIOR OF TRANSURANIUM ELEMENTS
SHALLOW WATER CORING, WATER SAMPLING, DREDGING,
IN SITU DETECTION MEASUREMENTS
MARINE SAMPLING -- DEEPER REGIONS OF LAGOON
COLLECTION OF EDIBLE MARINE VERTEBRATES AND
INVERTEBRATES

CAPABILITY ANALYTICAL LABORATORY

LABORATORY

 $\leq$ M O R ANALYTICAL ц. О KIND

GAPPA ANALYSIS, ALL TYPES OF SAMPLES, MARINE SURVEY ţ COMPLETE ANALYTICAL TREATMENT. SEA WATER SAMPLE PREPARATION - SOIL AND BIOTA

Ca

SOIL DISSOLUTION AND ANALYSIS FOR PU AND <sup>90</sup>SR ANALYSIS OF AIR FILTERS GANNA ANALYSIS

90SR ANALYSIS 55FE ANALYSIS MARINE SURVEY

SOIL DISSOLUTION AND ANALYSIS FOR PU AND 90SR ANALYSIS FOR PU GAFFIA ANALYSIS

PU REALTH STUDIES

FIGUT: 5

HERC (EPA) LAS VEGAS

CONTRACT ANALYSES

B

LASI



## Health Physics Support

( ....

Field Monitoring (Radsafe support for field ops) Film badging incl. processing, record keeping, etc. Anticontamination clothing, laundry, etc. Other personnel dosimetry, bioassay, etc.

f.Fr

## Technical Support

Debris identification and classification. \* In situ measurement of soil. \*Soil sample collection and documentation. \*Sample preparation.

\*Radiochemical analysis, both counting and wet chemistry. Documentation of disposal of contaminated material.

\*Interpretation of data for certification and documentation.

## GAMMA DOSE RATES AT RONGELAP ATOLL, 1954-1963

#### INTRODUCTION

Rongelap Atoll, Marshall Islands, was accidentally contaminated on March 1, 1954 with radioactive fallout from a thermonuclear device detonated at Bikini Atoll some 80 miles to the west. Eighty-two natives residing on Rongelap Island were evacuated and repatriated in June 1957. The atoll, its inhabitants and its economy have been briefly characterized<sup>(1)</sup>. The decline of gamma dose rates resulting from the fallout is discussed in this report.

#### RESULTS

#### Dose rates on D + 1

Gamma dose rates at Rongelap Atoll on D + 1 (time of detonation plus one day) were estimated to be 3.5 r per hour at the inhabited islet of Rongelap in the south and 35 r per hour at uninhabited Lomuilal islet in the northern part of the atoll<sup>(2)</sup>, Fig. 1. These estimates were based on extrapolations of measurements made two days after initial fallout<sup>(2)</sup>. The subsequent decline of gamma dose rates, based on survey meter readings taken three feet above the ground at Rongelap and Kabelle islets, is compared with the theoretical decay<sup>(3)</sup> in Figs. 2 and 3. The theoretical curve is based on fission products from slow neutron fission and the assumptions that there is no fractionation and that the radionuclides are distributed over an infinite plane, while at Rongelap measurable amounts of activity remained on the trees. The actual measurements fit closely to the theoretical decay curve for Rongelap islet and at least for the first four years after fallout at Kabelle islet in spite of the assumptions made in determining the theoretical curve and the variability of the field measurements. The measurements on one small islet may vary by a factor of more than three, even when the identical instrument is used by the same person.

## Decline following first storm

About two weeks after initial contamination there was a storm with heavy rain, and a subsequent reduction in gamma dose rate somewhat greater than would have been expected on a theoretical basis (2,4), (Fig. 2).

## Fallout in 1956 and 1958

The rises in gamma dose rates in 1956 and 1958 were due to operations Redwing and Hardtack. Even though there was a measurable amount of contamination, as was seen by the shortlived radionuclides present and by following the beta decay rates in plants collected in 1958, the total contribution was

a fraction of one per cent of the fallout deposited in 1954. Therefore the Redwing and Hardtack fallout are insignificant when considering the long-range picture.

## Selection of areas and reproducibility of repeated measurements

In March 1958, stakes were set out in various parts of Rongelap islet in an attempt to provide a means of repeating measurements at identical locations. Stakes proved to be unsatisfactory since they only served to attract the curious, which resulted in trampling and disturbance of the areas and in some cases removal of the stakes. A practical solution to this problem was to select general areas within which measurements were to be taken. These areas were located with relation to pathways, roads, buildings, and measured distances from landmarks. In each general area measurements were taken over different types of vegetation, soil, the pathways themselves, over litter, and under Pandanus trees and other tall plants. In August 1958, the set of measurements was repeated three times at Rongelap islet and twice at both Eniaetok and Kabelle islets. At Rongelap islet the average for each set of readings ranged from 0.046 to 0.067 mr/hr. At Enjaetok the range of the average readings was 0.073 to 0.079 mr/hr and at Kabelle islet, 0.137 to 0.178 mr/hr.

## Local differences in dose rates at three feet

The highest levels measured were generally under trees, particularly under Pandanus trees where litter had accumulated. The highest levels at Rongelap islet in 1955 were measured in the remaining palm-frond huts where fallout remained trapped in the roof and the wall thatching. Readings one inch above the floor were lower than those at three feet above the ground and readings close to the walls and roof were highest of all. There were relatively high readings over some open areas where soil algae were abundant. The soil algae form a crust roughly one centimeter thick and retain most of the radionuclides from fallout.

## Return to background level

The return to background level,  $\angle$  0.02 mr/hr, occurred first, as would be expected, in the intertidal zone, except for a few small areas of beach rock covered with a film of algae. Levels of  $\angle$  0.02 mr/hr were measured in July, 1957 in the intertidal zones at Kabelle and Rongelap islets and in the newly constructed village on Rongelap islet. Construction of the village entailed the removal of the thatched huts and bulldozing of a considerable part of the area. 4

## Local differences in dose rates at one inch

Survey meter readings were also taken at one inch above the ground with the beta shield both open and closed. There was no apparent correlation between these readings and the gamma dose rate readings at three feet, except in a very general way, but such readings were useful in selecting areas from which to sample and indicated local distribution of the activity. For example, when measurements were made one inch over the ground with the shield open in 1959, the levels were higher after the litter was removed from the soil and there were markedly higher levels of activity over areas covered with soil algae than over bare Attempts also were made with a survey meter to determine sand. local differences in activity in trees. This was unsuccessful, since the general levels of activity masked local effects within the trees, even though laboratory analyses showed that the activity in lichens and mosses collected from the bark was several times higher than in the bare portions of the trees. The use of survey meters to determine the vertical distribution of activity in soil pits was impracticable due to the high background levels from surrounding contamination and the fact that the bulk of the radioactivity was in the surface inch or less of soil.

## Film badges

In September 1959, film badges sealed against moisture were exposed at Rongelap and Kabelle islets to measure gamma doses in different areas. The badges were provided and set out by Radiation Safety personnel at the Pacific Proving Ground. The limit of detection was an accumulated dose of 10 mr. Three badges were placed at each location, one suspended by strings three feet above the ground and away from tree trunks, one three feet above the ground attached to a tree, and one on the ground. Gamma dose rates measured with a survey meter at each location indicated that the accumulated dose in 69 out of 116 film badges would be in excess of 10 mr, but less than 20 mr. The results were, however, negative for all badges. The discrepancy between the doses calculated from the survey meter measurements and those obtained with film badges may be explained by differences in sensitivity of the two methods to the gamma energies present in the field. Calibration was based on a radium standard rather than on actual fallout material. This discrepancy does not invalidate the decline curves in Figs. 2 and 3 since the theoretical curve (solid line) is based on measurements with a survey meter similar to those used for the various measurements made. However, the discrepancy does point out that while relative levels of activity can be determined accurately by any one type of measurement, absolute values depend on calibration with

radioactive sources having the same range and proportion of energies as the fallout material.

#### DISCUSSION

## Decline and fallout composition

It has turned out in practice at Rongelap Atoll that when a large number of survey meter readings are taken and these are averaged, a pattern of decline of gamma-dose rates consistent with the theoretical decay for mixed fission products emerges. This is true even though the theoretical curve is based on the decay of mixed fission products from U<sup>235</sup> distributed uniformly over an infinite plane and disregards differences in both the composition and distribution of fallout radionuclides in the actual field situation. The fallout at Rongelap consisted of mixed fission products and neutron-induced radionuclides from a thermonuclear device. The induced activities contributing to the gamma activity are of shorter half life than the long-lived fission product, 30-year Cs<sup>137</sup>. It therefore might have been expected that the early decline in gamma dose rates at Rongelap would have been more rapid than the theoretical decay of mixed fission products alone. As the art of producing thermonuclear devices progresses the fission yield per kiloton will decrease. There will be a higher proportion of induced radionuclides to fission products

and the decline of gamma dose rates will be more rapid. Therefore, in the future, long-range predictions of residual gamma dose rates based on the Rongelap experience would be likely to yield higher values than would actually occur.

## Fractionation of fallout

In addition to the differences in composition of fallout from different devices and variations in measurements there is fractionation of the radionuclides, a change in species composition with time or distance from origin. The various factors involved in fractionation are discussed in detail in the Congressional Hearings, 1959<sup>(5)</sup> and with specific reference to the March 1, 1954 explosion in "The Effects of Nuclear Weapons," 1962<sup>(4)</sup>.

## Redistribution of fallout

Reduction of gamma-dose rate levels due to redistribution of fallout possibly occurred during the first storm after fallout (Dunning, 1957), but thereafter redistribution had very little effect on the gamma dose rates during the first four years after fallout. The exception, of course, is the relatively rapid decline of radioactivity in the intertidal zone. Such rapid decline would also be anticipated in areas in which there is heavy erosion. An example is the man-made erosion by bulldozers in the village area at Rongelap. The reduction of gamma dose rates following the storm could have been due to the washing of fallout material

from the leaves of the vegetation and perhaps also to some shielding effect by additional moisture in the soil. It was certainly not due to rapid vertical movement of material in the soil. Analysis of soil leachates and soil cores shows that vertical movement of radionuclides in atoll soils is very slow. For example, cores taken in immature soils in 1963 still contained 90 per cent of the activity in the top centimeter. However, the reduction of gamma-dose rates to approximately half the predicted levels in 1959-63 probably reflects the downward movement of the long-lived gamma-emitter  $cs^{137}$  in the soil. Cesium-137 and Sb<sup>125</sup> are very slowly leached in the atoll soils while other gamma-emitters, Ce<sup>144</sup>-Pr<sup>144</sup>, Eu<sup>155</sup>, Zn<sup>65</sup>,  $co^{60}$ , and Mn<sup>54</sup> tend to remain at the surface (1,6,7). Although the gamma-dose rate values at Rongelap islet in 1959 63 fall on the theoretical curve, it appears that the levels due to the 1954 fallout have fallen below values predicted by the theoretical curve here also. Since the theoretical curve had reached background levels by 1959 it would be expected that the sum of gamma-dose rates due to the fallout and due to background would be approximately twice background.

## CONCLUSIONS

The Rongelap experience has shown that the decline of gamma dose rates can be approximated from the decay curve for

 $v^{235}$  fission products in a local or intermediate fallout situation. As instrumentation, techniques of calibration and the predictability of the radionuclide spectrum from nuclear devices continue to improve, so will the usefulness of gamma-dose rate measurements for predicting the decline of gamma-dose rates. Practically speaking, the reliability of such measurements will depend upon the experience and judgement of the individuals making the measurements, the variety of environmental situations encountered Their and the time available for making such measurements. reliability is further substantiated by the fact that the levels of specific radionuclides in the various land organisms at different islands were roughly correlated with the gamma dose rates. Errors in predicted levels will tend to be conservative, i.e., higher than actual levels.

## RECOMMENDATIONS

It would be useful in any future operations to have available known mixtures of radionuclides simulating the fallout radionuclides for a particular device, or better, a sample of the raw fallout material collected at each site to be studied. This mixture could then be used to calibrate instruments, film badges and chemical dosimeters as time went on and as the spectrum of gamma-energies changed. Comparison of the decay of

gamma-dose rates from the mixture, with decline of gamma-dose rates in the field, would give a more accurate indication of the overall effect of the redistribution of radionuclides on the gamma dose rates.



Fig. l.



Fig. 3. Decline of Gamma Dose Rates at Kabelle Islet.

#### REFERENCES

- E.E. Held, Qualitative Distribution of Radionuclides at Rongelap Atoll. In <u>Radioecology</u>, Schultz and Klement (Editors), Reinhold Pub. Corp. and the American Institute of Biological Sciences, New York (1963).
- G.M. Dunning (Editor), Radioactive Contamination of Certain Areas in the Pacific Ocean from Nuclear Tests. U.S. Atomic Energy Commission (1957).
- 3. C.F. Miller and P. Loeb, Ionization Rate and Photon Pulse Rate of Fission Products from Slow Neutron Fission of U<sup>235</sup>. USNRDL-TR-247 (1958).
- 4. S. Glasstone (Editor), The Effects of Nuclear Weapons. U.S. Atomic Energy Commission (1962) (See especially p. 460 and following).
- 5. Fallout from Nuclear Weapons Tests, Hearings Before the Special Subcommittee on Radiation of the Joint Committee on Atomic Energy, Congress of the U.S. 86th Congress, 1st Session, U.S. Government Printing Office (1959). (See especially V. 3, pp. 1969-76).
- D.W. Cole, S.P. Gessel and E.E. Held, Tension Lysimeter Studies of Ion and Moisture Movement in Glacial Till and Coral Atoll Soils. <u>Soil Sci. Soc. of Amer. Proc.</u> 25, 4(1961).

# REFERENCES, continued

7. E.E. Held, S.P. Gessel, L.J. Matson and R.F. Billings. Autoradiography of Sectioned Soil Cores. Proceedings of the Symposium on Radioisotope Sample Measurement Techniques in Medicine and Biology, I.A.E.A. (1965). (In Press)

,

ini. Setia