

[REDACTED]

SAP 2008832000 DOCUMENTS

as

411426

J-17595

[REDACTED] ...ed data ...ct of ...ure of ... an unan-
19 ...
its ...
th ... person is promissed ...

Summary of Notes on Meetings
Held In
J Division
Los Alamos Scientific Laboratory (u)

I/1991

2 and 3 April 1953

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

OPERATION CASTLE PLANNING

LAWL
A-91-048
FILE = 8-53

Sanitized by NN-523
on 2/5/98

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY DECLASSIFICATION REVIEW	
1ST REVIEW DATE:	DETERMINATION (CIRCLE 4, 5, OR 6)
AUTHORITY: <input type="checkbox"/> AOC <input type="checkbox"/> ADC <input type="checkbox"/> DC	1. CLASSIFICATION
NAME:	2. CLASSIFICATION CHANGED TO:
2ND REVIEW DATE:	3. CONTAINS NO DOE CLASSIFIED INFO
AUTHORITY: ADD	4. COORDINATE WITH:
NAME:	5. CLASSIFICATION CANCELED
	6. CLASSIFIED INFO BRACKETED
	7. OTHER (SPECIFY):

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY DECLASSIFICATION REVIEW	
1ST REVIEW DATE: 8-25-77	DETERMINATION (CIRCLE 4, 5, OR 6)
AUTHORITY: <input type="checkbox"/> AOC <input type="checkbox"/> ADC <input type="checkbox"/> DC	1. CLASSIFICATION
NAME: [REDACTED]	2. CLASSIFICATION CHANGED TO:
2ND REVIEW DATE: 10-6-77	3. CONTAINS NO DOE CLASSIFIED INFO
AUTHORITY: ADD	4. COORDINATE WITH:
NAME: [REDACTED]	5. CLASSIFICATION CANCELED
	6. CLASSIFIED INFO BRACKETED
	7. OTHER (SPECIFY):

[REDACTED]

30
32

This Document Consists of 31 Pages.

[REDACTED]

LOS ALAMOS
01761793

[REDACTED]



ATTENDANCE

H&N: L. A. Corman (second day only)
D. L. Narver, Jr., (second day only)

J-Div, LASL: W. H. Burke
R. H. Campbell
D. Curry, Jr.
E. S. Eunson
A. C. Graves
P. L. Hooper
A. W. Kelly
W. E. Ogle
F. B. Porzel (first day only)
R. Raider
J. D. Servis

JTF-7: J. L. Hall

NRL: W. N. Fitzpatrick
J. P. Walsh

ONR: W. J. Thaler

Scripps: J. D. Isaacs
R. Revelle

SFO: James E. Reeves (second day only)

TG 7.5: P. W. Spain (second day only)

TU-13: H. K. Gilbert
N. E. Kingaley
W. McLallon



I. REVISED SCHEDULE

The present Castle Schedule is as follows:

1.	DELETED	Bikini: Surface Shot (on Barge) over deep water—off Bokororyuru
2.		Bikini: Vicinity of Namu
3.		Bikini: Barge, Vicinity of Yurochi
4.	DELETED	Bikini: Barge, Vicinity of Yurochi
5.		Bikini: Eninman
6.		Eniwetok: Eberiru

II. DOD PROGRAMS - Discussion Conducted by Kingsley

TU-13 Hq has requested information from all their agencies as to details and requirements of the projects. Kingsley described the results of their inquiries to date.

PROGRAM 1 - ELAST AND SHOCK MEASUREMENTS

1.1 Elast Measurements by Photography - NOL

- a. Free Air Pressure (Rocket Trails)
- b. Precursor Phenomena (Rocket Trails)

It is desired to have these projects on all shots. No information has yet been received from Aronson as to location of his rocket launchers.

Present plans for EG&G photo tower locations are as follows: For Bikini: 75-ft towers on Namu, Eninman, and Bikini, a 300-ft tower on Enyu, and a concrete pedestal 10 or 12 ft high on Yurochi. For Eniwetok: a 75-ft tower on Muzin or Kirinian, a 125-ft tower on Parry. Aronson is aware of these camera locations and is presumably making his plans on this basis.

Inclusion of this project on all shots was questioned. For example, to have the bomb between rockets and camera on the deep water shot would necessitate that the launchers be out on rafts in the water, which sounds impractical. Kingsley replied this question must await details from Aronson. Possibly the project cannot be done on all shots.

1.1c Base Surge Phenomena

Proposed for Shots 1, 3, and 4. They are talking about using 15 cameras at three stations, locations as yet undecided. Ogle questioned whether this might constitute a duplication with the EG&G program already planned; they have been requested to do ball of fire, early rise, cloud studies, etc., from the stations mentioned above, and he thought any pictures taken from these towers would be exactly what this project would get. Thaler thought this possible; Aronson does not know the complete photographic plan, was going up to EG&G this week to talk to them.

Ogle has no objection to anyone's talking with EG&G directly. However, he would like their program to be an integrated one, and prefers that all requirements go through G. Felt (J-15, LASL) to accomplish this. He suggested in this case Aronson talk to Felt to see if the interests of this project are already covered by presently planned photography or if these plans could be amplified to include it. If so, 1.1c could be left as a project but would require no additional people or logistic support. Gilbert agreed the DOD wished also to avoid duplication and that Aronson should probably talk with Felt.

1.1d Peak Pressure by Aerial Photography

The request is for two aerial cameras (one 16-mm Kodachrome positive, one 35-mm black and white negative, both probably mounted in the same plane) to look at the shock running along the water. Thaler suggested this might tie in with the wave studies (cf. 1.6, below), Ogle, that it also might be covered by the other aerial photography.

1.2 Pressure vs Time on the Surface

In general, this project has been split between Sandia and BRL, with the former to use their regular instrumentation, BRL to try to develop the self-recording gauge which they are proof-testing at Upshot-Knothole for use in the higher pressure regions.

a. Pressures less than 40 psi - SANDIA CORP

DELETED

DELETED

(Incidentally, except for this project and the film badge measurements, all DOD instrumentation will be on Bikini.)

DELETED

DELETED

DELETED

~~SECRET~~

~~SECRET~~

Kingsley stated this is a new factor to them and locations may have to be reconsidered.

~~DELETED~~ However, they are interested in a fission weapon, for operational applications.

Sandia's plans, subject to modification in view of the foregoing statements, are as follows. For the last shot, they would have 11 to 14 measurement positions along the Aomon - Rojoa string in the pressure region ranging from 4.5 to 100 psi, which goes up considerably into the self-recording gauge range (see 1.2b, below). For recording, they can use Eldg 56, 802 or 803, all on Eijiri; if they cannot have access to one of those, would want a building on Rojoa.

Also for this shot they want ζ (wind velocity, wind pressure, $1/2 \rho v^2$) measurements at 30 or 40 psi, which will take six channels, and G (acceleration) measurements at 70 and 100 psi, with measurements in three components of acceleration at both those pressure ranges.

~~DELETED~~

~~DELETED~~

~~DELETED~~

This is the end of the airstrip, but the shelter can be put off to one side, any place it is convenient to build it.

Ogle mentioned the jet which will come down the vacuum tube on this shot, dead into their array; this may not actually foul them up at all but they should consider other positions if possible. Campbell informed them of this yesterday, but there are not land masses at the distances they want on the other side of Eninman. If they abandon the Ramrod shot they will probably want to move in closer on this one because they wish to get ~ 100 psi on their instruments. This they could do on Enirikku but it means clearing more of the island, and there go the trees which are desired for Project 3.3.

For this shot, they plan to have ζ measurements in the 40, 30, and 20 psi regions (two stations on Bigiren, one on Peter, Jr.), and G measurements, again in three components, at 200, 100 and 40 psi (two on Reere, one on Bigiren).

For the lagoon barge shots they propose three measurements on Romurikku and three on Aomoen, each island of which will require a shelter because of fear of disrupting the cable by running it across the reef. (They experienced a great deal of trouble with this on King Shot.) These stations will also be instrumented for the Hunt shot, should get pressures ~ 2 or 3 psi. No ζ or G measurements will be included in these stations.

~~SECRET~~

The pressure ranges at Eniwetok covered by Sandia, then, are 4.5 to 100 psi. At Bikini they would work from 8.5 to 25 psi. Ogle thought they should be persuaded to go into lower pressure regions, since the effects introduced by atmospheric inhomogeneity do not occur until further out. Whether or not this is a point of military interest was discussed at length. Porzel thought surely the tactical employment of a large weapon hinges almost as much on this question as any other. The matter was not resolved.

McLellan, the D-6 representative for DOD, will remain at LASL to see that station locations are pinpointed on a map for this as well as other projects.

b. Pressures greater than 40 psi - BRL

Miner is quite optimistic about the new BRL self-recording gauges. They have been all right so far at U/K at lower pressures. They cost only \$150 apiece, will record pressures as low as ~ 10 psi. Mounting required is a 6-ft pipe set in a block of concrete, with a screw top to put in their gauge. Recording is a scratch record on a spring-driven drum. No timing signals are required. They would mount four gauges at each station in one cluster.

For the deep water shot, stations are planned for Bokororyuru, Bokoaetokutoku, Ourukaen, and Arriikan (one station on each). Then the plan was that these same stations, plus locations on Bokobyadaa, Bokonejien, and Namu, would also cover the Namu shot.

~~DELETED~~

~~DELETED~~

~~DELETED~~

~~BRL wanted to keep their stations out of the crater and out of the water, but in as high pressure regions as they could, which turned out to be ~ 100 psi maximum.~~

For the lagoon barge shots, they figured on one station each on Yurochi, Uorikku, Romarikku, and Aomon, on the beach toward zero. For Shot 5 (Morgenstern), they planned a blast line down Eninman with six stations along it, plus a 7th station offset, would require that the blast line be cleared to be 150 - 200 ft in width. (Someone suggested this would be a good place to put the structure of 3.1; see below.) They also want two stations on Bigiren.

All the above stations will be on the beach facing zero except for the strip down Eninman. Presumably, Miner could send someone to LASL to mark their exact positions on a map.

For the Eniwetok shot, three stations on Aomon and one on another island (Kojoa or Aitsu) are suggested, all these to be spread along the beach. Again the same objection applies with regard to measuring

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

pressures on the Ramrod as was raised above for Sandia: the fact that this is not a typical situation blastwise.

1.3 Shock Winds and Afterwinds - SANDIA

Covered above in discussion of Q measurements.

1.4 Underwater Pressure vs Time - ONR, NOL

Thaler described the details of these measurements. Present plans for participation are in Shots 1 and 3, and on the basis of discussions with Campbell this morning as to where Shot 4 may be located, it appears they may be able to get some additional data on this shot with practically no extra effort.

This project has requested that the weapons barge for the first shot be located ~ 1-3/4 miles off Bokororyuru, where the water is 4000 ft deep. (The reasons for this location are explained in Section III.) Since the firing of the Zombie is problematical and a Runt may instead be fired for this shot, they have had to prepare for both eventualities, and have worked out the following arrangement for positioning their gauges.

The main concern here is recovery of the cans. They have talked with Professor Arons and other experts in the field of underwater pressures and were told that base surge criteria and water column height from a burst such as this can be scaled as a function of the cube root of the charge yield.

DELETED

DELETED

DELETED

On the other hand, if it goes small, the pressure level at the gauges will be decreased but as long as their gauges have enough range to cover the pressures expected from the lowest to the highest they will still get results. These gauges are linear from 0 to 3000 psi.

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

(Ogle had mentioned in discussion of another project the large range of yields which must be planned for.

DELETED

DELETED

The instrumentation must be planned with enough range to go from 100 KT to 4 MT.)


Getting pressures at distances of interest to submarines is achieved by putting the gauges at different depths, since the pressures increase with depth of water.

The instrument lines will be in a position roughly as shown in the sketch relative to the weapons barge. Three lines will be used, to achieve distances of 6730 or 7500 ft (depending on the weapon chosen). 10,000 and 16,000 ft from zero.

DELETED

DELETED

The moorings planned will position these to several hundred feet.




It is thought that a 1-1/2" chain should be sufficient to go from the moorings to the water. Then a 1/2" wire cable with breaking strength of 28,000 lbs will be adequate from the cable to the buoys. A deep sea moor will be put down to a 2800 lb anchor with a 1-1/2" chain leader. The instrument cans will be fastened to this system.

It is planned to use a net layer and a tug, the former to lay the moors, the latter the cans.

As for the pressures expected, there are practically no data available on large-scale surface bursts, so they have taken small-scale data and attempted to scale it up. This has resulted in the predictions noted below for instrumentation at depths of 75, 250, 500 and 1000 ft, as shown in the sketch.

All instruments are self-recording on magnetic tape within the buoys. In addition, it is planned to telemeter the information from the 8-channel Wiancko system at 10,000 ft. They had considered telemetering by a remote-controlled relay station on Eniwetok to a manned aircraft which would have a recording station in it. (Photographic recording would be used for this phase.) The aircraft also has a command

[REDACTED]



Instrument Line "A" is a 4-channel NOL system; "B", a Hastings system; "C" is an 8-channel Wiancko system.

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]



transmitter in it; it flies so that it has line of sight beamed at the buoys and turns each one of them on individually.

Campbell suggested they might use the 75-ft tower on Eninuan for a relay station and to remote-control the buoys; they had planned having their own radio control system but he thought they might be able to tie in with EG&G's radio signals on this. (Incidentally, EG&G will furnish six radio signals.) This is new information to Thaler and friends; they are considering it, will send a man to EG&G to investigate this.

It was asked, if they can retransmit from the 75-ft tower, must they then go to an aircraft or could they use a ship? The answer: line of sight and range requirements necessitate the plane; also they do not wish to run their equipment on a ship because of demands for other power. The relay station is for the purpose of getting the aircraft far enough away that it is out of the blast and thermal range.

The buoys will be cylindrical cans with dished heads, calculated to withstand a static load of 1100 psi, compartmented with watertight sections. The inner section, containing the electronics, magnetic tape recorders, etc., will be shock mounted. There is a heat shield at the top and two antennas sticking out of the top, one channel for the command link and one for the telemetering. A beamed, Yogi-type antenna will point directly toward the buoys, the signal will feed down into the receiver and then transmit from another beamed antenna to the aircraft, where it will be picked up and passed to the oscillographic recorder.


This project is testing out some of the antennas on Upshot-Knothole Shot 8, will try to scale their positions so they will be in the same blast and thermal range.

DELETED

DELETED

He recommended they look at Frolich's data from Greenhouse and at the NBS gamma intensity as a function of time data and see at what gamma levels Frolich's transmitter started working again (it cut off at zero time, came back on a second or so later.) They will check into this. If it appears serious they may telemeter the more distant line rather than the second one.

For the third shot they plan three lines in the lagoon. The first one will go from a little less than 1/2 mile from zero out to a little more than 1-1/2 miles. For the close-in measurements mechanical ball crusher type gauges will be used. The second line will go from 1-1/2 to 2-1/2 miles, to which is attached the first set of recording cans. The third line will be the same as the second.



~~SECRET~~

The ball crusher gauges go underwater. They are planning 64 gauges per station in piles of four, every 10 ft to the bottom; there will be 11 ball crusher stations along the line, which makes something of the order of 700 ball crusher gauges in the lagoon.

In addition to the instrumentation mentioned above, there will be an NOL four-channel station positioned so that gauges are at 125 and 75 ft depths of water. The Navy is interested in pressures on a sloped bottom.

It is thought that free information can be obtained from the fourth shot by leaving the cans in after the third. One of the things they will get for certain, assuming everything is working properly, is the telemetered information. If there is sufficient time interval between shots they may be able to go in and spot three or four cans, lift them out, change the tapes, and put them back. They will do this if they can and with as many cans as they can, depending on the next shot time.

They are planning to have all the equipment assembled at NRL by 1 July and then take it out, can by can, to their underwater testing and explosion site in Chesapeake Bay and test them with shaped charges, scaling up the results. From 1 October to 1 November they plan to have a complete checkout of everything, then button up and send it overseas. Paul Walsh will be head of this project in the Forward Area.


Thaler next discussed their logistic problems, which are considerable. Estimated number of people is: NRL - 10, NOL - 20, DTMB - 4. The groups will be broken up as follows:

1) Electronics: they hope to have working space on Parry, an open shed with a roof on it, will work on roughly 20 buoys, lifting them around with a fork lift and crane. They have also requested dehumidified laboratory space and a little office space.

2) Mooring: they have asked for 40,000 ft of open storage area on Eninman, and will have the net layer standing by, will also need an M boat, crane and fork lift at Eninman. It is hoped to have the moors in by 1 February, and then they plan to immediately begin putting out the cans, so a few of them will be in the ocean two weeks.

3) Telemetering: the third group will be working on installation of equipment in the relay station. About three people are involved.

One of the big problems, aside from mooring the cans, is transporting everything from Parry to Bikini. This should be done about the first week in February, they will perhaps use an LST and a tug.



Walsh added that simultaneously to the mooring of the cans is a ball crusher effort going on which requires its own little logistic support, probably one M boat. The gauges will be coated with plastic to make them waterproof. They were thinking of having a room aboard a ship but since they now plan to live in an 8-man tent on Eninman, the ball crusher people could work there too. Ogle mentioned the point that, if fall-out from the Zombie and Runt Shots is bad, there is a remote possibility we might fire Morgenstern before Alarm Clock, indicating it would be better for this project to assemble their gauges on Parry and not depend on Eninman. However, transportation of ball crusher gauges is a delicate problem and there are thousands of them. In that case, working aboard ship seems the only answer, so they will need a room ~ 6 x 10 aboard ship.

Recovery problems: Ogle thought they would be able to get in and recover their cans in a day or so after the first shot. Their real worry is the instrumentation in the lagoon, since they must get the ball crusher gauges out before the fourth shot goes. The lagoon will have to be cooled down to a reasonable amount before the bomb is taken in, so this may be all right. It is planned to recover the cans with a net tender, the ball crusher gauges with an M boat.

1.5 Acoustic Pressure Signals in Water (SOFAR) - ONR


Completely off-site studies.

1.6 Water Wave Studies - ONR

ONR has been asked to undertake this work, have agreed, and hope to get Scripps Institute to do it for them. The object is to get as much information as possible on wave velocity and amplitude, both in deep sea and on the beach.

Revelle and Isaacs outlined Scripps' thinking to date on how these measurements might be performed. One way which is promising, judging from the measurements they made at Crossroads where there were quite large waves, is the photographic method. This would involve essentially automatic-cycling aerial cameras on towers at sufficient height so they can see across the lagoon if possible or at least a good way into the lagoon.

The phototower locations, as described in the discussion of Project 1.1, above, were examined with regard to the performance of this measurement. It was agreed that all barge shots except the first one can be adequately covered by existing towers. Scripps would want to have cameras in those at Bikini, Enyu, and Eninman. The Zombie shot, however, presents difficulties, since it is desired to get two lines on each object with a reasonable angle. Aariikan was discussed, since there will



~~SECRET~~

be a station there for other photographic purposes (3 miles from the deep water shot) and there will be timing lines; however, it is probably too close for this purpose and a 75-ft tower would not withstand the pressures, ~ 6 psi, expected there. After further discussion, it was agreed that an additional 75-ft tower, to be paid for by the DOD, will be erected on Chieerete, and the tower planned for Eninman will be put instead on Enirikku. Scripps would like to have the photography done by radio or otherwise remotely controlled photogrametric (aerial) cameras, cycling every 1 - 3 sec, over 20 - 40 minutes. Ogle thought EG&G could do this, they have used such cameras in the past. He suggested the photographic requirements be submitted in terms of space and time resolution, how often pictures should be taken, and for what length of time the cameras should run. Again, he would appreciate such requirements going through Felt.

These cameras would look at lights placed on Thaler's buoys, and observe the motion of other objects such as pieces of paper, carpenter's scraps, etc. ("flotsam and jetsam"), perhaps other light-tethered buoys. They will want these about 4 miles offshore. Scripps will take care of putting the markers out.

In addition to the photographic work, Scripps would like to repeat for the Zombie shot the same thing they did for Mike, putting distant recorders at Kwajalein, Wake, etc. They are thinking of from 8 to 15 pressure and water level recorders, to be placed on Kwajalein, Eniwetok, Guam, Wake, Eninman, Chieerete, and Bikini. These will be self-contained units but have a cable from transducer to recorder, must be anchored somehow, and require a recording station on shore. This latter is possibly about a 3-ft cube, a small, waterproof box containing a recorder and power supply. (Scripps are considering even a simpler unit than this, with a box the size of two cigar boxes). Ogle suggested that if it is that small it would be simpler for Scripps to furnish their own steel-welded boxes, rather than go through the rigmarole with H&N. The boxes can probably be fastened with anchor bolts into the coral rock.

Revelle stated he thought Scripps was prepared to put 6 - 10 people out there at the time of the shots; this would include Bikini and surrounding islands. He estimated costs as follows:

Personnel	\$15,000
Overhead	5,000
Instruments	20,000

One requirement is that Scripps have a small boat essentially under their control for the whole period (extent of this period is not certain, perhaps

~~SECRET~~

~~SECRET~~

a month), an LCM or something of that nature, to place the instruments.

Scripps will submit a proposal to ONR covering the work discussed above. In addition, they will suggest that the drone ships of Project 6.4 be instrumented to augment this measurement.

1.7 Close-in Ground Acceleration - SANDIA

Covered above in connection with 1.2a.

PROGRAM 2 - NUCLEAR EFFECTS

2.1 Gamma Film Dosage Measurements - ESL

This is planned for all shots, including the one at Eniwetok, with 200 to 500 badges out per shot. No shore construction is requested (the impression is that ESL will provide the stakes and pound them in themselves); but they do have a requirement for an accurate survey, film storage, and space for a motorized van (a mobile laboratory, one of the Signal Corps labs similar to what they had on the Rendova). They want this at Bikini for the Bikini shots and at Eniwetok for the last one.

Ogle would worry about taking off a trailer to a ship for each shot and then bringing it back if there is much contamination (and he thinks there will be), particularly if this is a film trailer. Servis stated that the RadSafe people could handle the processing, and that so far as film badge processing is concerned there is no reason for anyone else to request these facilities. (Projects 2.3 and 2.5 have also requested trailers for this purpose.) It is hoped that these requirements can be consolidated.

Kingsley thought that what ESL means by an "accurate survey" is the accurate location of structures nearby, so they can pace off distances and know where their film badges are. These badges will be scattered all over the land areas to measure total gamma dosage, presumably including whatever fall-out falls on them. Information on just how and where ESL will want to put these should come in this week.

2.2 Gamma Dose Rate vs Time - ESL

Planned for all Bikini shots. This project will involve 12 to 24 scintillation counters (total for 5 shots) placed in 55-gallon drums with concrete caps, 2-1/2" ID pipe for the probe, concrete bases needed for the drums. Timing signals are also required. Information on desired locations is not yet available.

~~SECRET~~

~~SECRET~~

2.3 Neutron Flux and Spectrum Measurements - NRL

NRDL had originally planned some participation here, but will now not be involved in the project and this is only NRL (T. Hanscome). There are some construction requirements, piling with concrete caps, details not yet known.

2.4 Neutron and Gamma Ray Shielding - CRL

This project has been cancelled.

2.5 Fall-out Distribution Studies - NRDL, CRL

This is another project which poses a large operational problem. It involves putting out 100 stations radially at 18° intervals with distances of 5, 10, 20, 35, and 50 miles. Of those, 20 to 30 will be on land or anchored on rafts in the lagoon. The others will be free-floating outside the lagoon. The rafts will be the same type (DAN buoys) as used for Ivy; they are 2 ft in diameter, 3 ft high, float almost submerged and have an antenna. CINCPAC has made a requirement that they have positive identification on them this time, so they will not be confused with submarines.

Two vessels will be needed, capable of covering 12 knots, to place and recover the rafts for Shots 2, 3, and 5, from D - 2 to D / 4. They would like to have the vessels based at Eniwetok, which will mean an additional time requirement. On the recovery phase (to D / 4) they also need occasional use of a spotting aircraft. The Task Force (Hall) has their requirements, knows they are in addition to our previous requirements, and is starting action on them.

The land-station portion of the project is designated as 2.5b. Land stations will be located near the Project 2.2 stations (the scintillation counters). Ogle questioned this: the 2.2 stations are supposedly within the range of prompt gammas, and he would expect these to be outside that range. That is, he would expect the DOD not to be particularly concerned with fall-out in the first mile and a half but to be more concerned with it from there out to about 50 miles, whereas one would want the $\gamma(t)$ instrumentation in closer. Kingsley replied that there is enough overlap that some of the 2.2 station positions will be suitable, since for some of the shots part of the stations will be more than 5 miles from ground zero.

2.6 Radiochemical Analysis of Ground Contamination - NRDL, CRL

No construction requirements at the site.

~~SECRET~~

~~SECRET~~

PROGRAM 3 - STRUCTURES

3.1 Loading of Structures - SRI

To be done on Shot 5 only. It will involve one cubicle identical to the one being used on Frenchman Flat for U/K, a rectangular block of normal concrete, exterior dimensions 6 x 12 x 6.

DELETED DELETED

The structure would be placed with the front face normal to the blast. It must be held fairly rigid, they do not want it to tumble or move appreciably with the blast, since the object is to measure loading on a rigid structure. It will be at ground level, will require an underground base. (It was agreed that the prints for the Knothole Project 3.1 structure could be used for the aboveground portion.)

There will be about 40 channels of instrumentation on the structure, to measure pressure-time. A recording station will be required at some distance; Gilbert did not think it could be over 6000 ft from the cube because of cable length limitations. Doll is working up his requirements for the recording shelter, it is not thought it will have to be very big.

There is a possibility this may be tied in with Project 3.3; if there is instrumentation for the trees, it might use the same recording station. Kingsley is not sure they can do this. They wanted some pressure instrumentation among trees and there are none on these islands to the east of zero. The only trees are on the west end of Enirikku.

It was suggested that this cubicle might also be put on Enirikku. Campbell has to build some photo structures over there anyway, and if this means combining the recording on these two projects into one shelter, it would be an advantage.

DELETED DELETED Then, since the trees are in a lower pressure spot, the recording shelter will probably be put among the trees so as to have approximately equal cable lengths. The trees will have to be stripped up to the building, since they want a clean shock wave to hit the cube. (Ogle thought if they wanted that, they should put the cube on the shore.) Doll will furnish information on cable lengths and inside dimensions of the shelter. It is thought that film recording is proposed. This will pose a recovery problem because of fall-out.

~~SECRET~~

~~SECRET~~

L. M. Swift will be project officer for 3.1.

It is urged that for Shot 5 participation the instrumentation be in and ready to go, with the possible exception of very last-minute checking out, before Shot 3 and preferably before Shot 2, because there is a very good chance of fall-out from prior shots.

3.2 Crater Survey and Evaluation - SRI

The Army Map Service, with coordination by SRI, has agreed to prepare a plan for photography to be taken as soon as possible after each of the land shots for each crater. Ogle has discussed with Vaile at NPG the question of how soon after the shot this work can begin. He feels that as long as they use planes they can take a picture 1/2 to 1 hr after the shot, but discouraged him with all vehemence from trying to take profiles from a boat in less than something like two days after the shot.

NEL will put fathometers in an LCM and traverse the crater, will want to get in as soon as possible after the shot. They expect to be able to work out a plan to dump some buoys over the side and take sightings with a periscope if necessary. (Ogle said he did not think they should go in if it is that hot.)

It is planned that Lookout Mountain will do the photography. They will be approached next week on this point.

3.3 Pressures in a Tree Stand - SRI

A one-shot affair (Shot 5), probably to be done at the west end of Enirikku, as noted above, involving 10 or 15 channels of instrumentation, measuring pressures on the ground. The Tree Service would like, if the pressures are right, to instrument the trees as well, but at the moment it appears the pressures are not proper in this one tree stand which is the only one. At any rate, the Tree Service may request a few more channels for tree instrumentation; this would be done for them by SRI.

Doll thinks 6 or 7 people will be enough for all this work, including the trees.

PROGRAM 4 - BIOMEDICAL

4.1 Neutron Dosimetry with Mice - Walter Reed

This project has been dropped.

~~SECRET~~

~~SECRET~~

PROGRAM 6 - TESTS OF SERVICE EQUIPMENT AND OPERATIONS

6.1 Evaluation of IBDA Techniques - WADC

Kwajalein-mounted, requires no shore construction at Bikini.

6.2 Effects of Blast, Gust, and Thermal on Aircraft in Flight - WADC

Will be mounted at Kwajalein. Only one aircraft will be employed, a B-36, instead of two as originally proposed.

6.3 Electromagnetic Effects - ESL

No shore construction requirements. Will require a very high-frequency radio band; it is not yet known whether this must be a clear channel. TG 7.1 would like to have their frequency requirements as soon as possible.

6.4 Prooftesting of AW Countermeasures - BuShips

The Task Force and project people had a meeting on this in Washington on Monday. As far as shore construction requirements are concerned, there will be none, but moors will be necessary to hold the ships while they are being decontaminated, and it appears two tugs will be needed to tow the ships. (The ships can be radio-controlled for about eight hours. They will start droning at H - 2 and will run through the cloud, etc., but then have to be towed back.)

No final decision was made on details at this meeting, the Task Force was just acquainted with the problem. Originally, the 6.4 people wanted to run the ships back to Eniwetok. There was a feeling at the meeting that this would not be necessary, that they could be moored in the Bikini lagoon. This raised the question, however, of perhaps having to move them out because of contamination of the Bikini lagoon.

The ships are at Mare Island now, and the Task Force and Project people will take care of their being put into commission. If this can be treated as a test operation, the total number of people will be around 125. There has apparently been no ground gained in getting a control ship for this project. They want radio control for both steering and main engines (so as to control both speed and direction). They have a P2V for the control airplane and also want to plot the position of these ships from the Task Force command ship and give orders to the control aircraft from there. This was to be pursued further this week at another meeting with the Task Force. The latter was trying to avoid having people in the control room on the ship, wished to have them all in the control plane.

~~SECRET~~

~~SECRET~~

If there is plane trouble, the ships are either locked on a prescribed course or there will be a helicopter which will be in a standby position to take over. (The ships have an emergency control at the tip of the mast where everything can be shut off; apparently the helicopter is not instrumented to be a control, it is just to shut them off if necessary.)

Ogle mentioned that the conditions for flying planes around will be a little bad anyway, recalling the apparent weather change which occurred after Mike. There will be clouds and fall-out; the aircraft will have to be doing considerable worrying about itself in addition to watching the ship. If it is flying above cloud cover it will have to position by radar. At zero time it will have to be where it can see the ships, and must be reasonably far away from zero so that it does not get hurt. Also there is another requirement, that it not be where the fall-out is occurring. Kingsley said it could work from about 30 miles away.

Someone asked about the 125 people. Presumably the ships are moored either at Bikini or Eniwetok. To get them underway again requires 6 to 8 people, the rest will be taken off. They want them to live at Bikini, but Kingsley sees no reason why they cannot be evacuated, since they will not be used for decontamination. (They need them to bring the ships back, so cannot send them home.) The ships get underway under their own power, and go out to some fringe area, perhaps 20 or 30 miles from zero, this with 6 or 8 people to operate them. Two hours before the shot they go on drone and the people will have to be lifted off. The Task Force has expressed quite strongly that their preferred method of lifting them off is by helicopter. Then at the end of eight hours tugs will pick up the ships and tow them back.

Two tugs will be tied up towing these ships to a position for mooring. It was TU-13's feeling this could be in the Bikini lagoon, in order to cut down the time the tugs and other support ships are tied up. One tug was to stand by for hosing down the two ships as a preliminary decontamination measure. (The purpose of the project is, of course, to proof test AW countermeasures--the instrumented ship will be compared with the uninstrumented one by devices on the ship--but they still have to be cleaned off for the next shot.)

Curry asked whether these tugs will come out as part of 6.4 or whether they must be ones we have already requested. We will have three. This job plus towing the helicopter barge commits these three; he does not know how many other requirements there will be. The DOD does have other requirements.

This project also wants 2 LCM's available, one to stand by the ships as a clothes-change station and shower room, the other one to operate a ferry service for the working party people. It was suggested they use a barge instead of an LCM.

~~SECRET~~

~~SECRET~~

It is not yet known how close they will be. They want to get inside the cloud, but outside the blast damage range--this may be quite difficult. They are planning to be on the first shot, Shot 3 or 4, and 5.

Kingsley was sorry he could not report all these operational problems are solved, but the Task Force is working on it, and they did not indicate too much alarm.

Hooper expressed worry about the operational details, the plane control instead of a ship, the helicopters--we do not have enough of these as it is. He asked if they considered at all seriously the idea of ship control with another ship brought out expressly for this project, e.g., an APD. Those present did not know. (Hall came in later, said that the idea had not gotten very far.) Graves suggested controlling them from the tugs, making this a self-contained unit.

Hall is investigating all these things for the Task Force, Ogle thought we must get together with him and get a detailed plan for movement the last few days. He was also afraid they were right about having to bring the ships back to Eniwetok or leave them out in the ocean to decontaminate them. The Bikini Lagoon may be so hot from Shots 3 and 4 that its water could not be used to decontaminate the ships. The DOD and Task Force did not want to commit the tugs for too long a time, therefore would prefer to leave them at Bikini.

6.5 Decontamination and Protections - CRL

This consists of some participation in 6.4 aboard ship. Kingsley did not know the exact nature, but thought it was the usual thing they do. No shore construction is required. 6.5 was not in the list we had at the last meeting, however, even at that time it was to be a joint project, at least CRL was to have some participation in 6.4. Kingsley thought possibly it could all be put into one project.

PROGRAM 7 - LONG RANGE DETECTION - AFQAT

- 7.1 EM Radiation Calibration
- 7.2 Detection of Airborne Low-frequency Sound from Atomic Explosions
- 7.3 Seismic Measurements
- 7.4 Calibration Analysis of A-bomb Debris

No shore construction requirements at the site have been submitted for any of these projects. The last word Kingsley had was that 7.1, 7.2,

~~SECRET~~

and 7.3 would be based on Eniwetok Island. Should the Air Task Group be moved to Kwajalein, these projects might move also. 7.4 would probably also follow the planes, since it is connected with collection of air samples. Part of this is also allied with LASL's radiochemical collection; Spence has an agreement with AFOAT to give them part of the filter paper.

Program 7 includes essentially all the projects AFOAT-1 has done in the past with the exception of the one concerned with detection of fireball light at distances. It would appear, therefore, that they will have requirements on Parry or Eniwetok. Kingsley has no word yet as to what these are. Campbell thought they would probably include things like antennas and poles, communications, a small lab, etc.

Incidentally, 7.4 has indicated they will want 10 people at Eniwetok (we had previously figured 5 Forward). Again, these people would probably wish to stay with the Air Task Group.

PROGRAM 8 - THERMAL MEASUREMENTS

We had not originally planned to make thermal measurements on all six bombs, only the LASL ones. Ogle thought this would probably not quite fill the DOD hopes, since some of their instrumentation will be on the Morgenstern. Kingsley said yes, they would want total thermal on that bomb.

~~DELETED~~ ~~DELETED~~ Kingsley thought it would be quite acceptable to the DOD not to have measurements on Ramrod, but he would like to verify this and let us know.

To make sure we are talking about the same thing in connection with this program, Ogle explained that most of Stewart's thermal stations will in general be several miles from zero, as on Mike. At the moment there is no proposal to make any measurements from the air; most of the instrumentation will be in EG&G's photo towers. Quite often, information obtained from close stations will disagree with the more distant measurements, largely because of dust problems.

Kingsley will talk to Seoville and Giller about this to make sure it is satisfactory.

PROGRAM 9 - SUPPORTING MEASUREMENTS

No program except for accounting. Photography by EG&G and LOML, timing by EG&G, and meteorology by JTF 7 will be parts of the requiring projects.

~~SECRET~~

~~SECRET~~

TU-13 has requested Fussell of EG&G to submit a proposal on cloud photography, in connection with 9.1 work. Ogle wondered whether this is different from what EG&G has already been requested to do: they will take cloud photographs from the various towers on Bikini and Eniwetok and in addition from a plane or so which we are arranging for, in particular, from Plank's plane which will be at about 35000-40000 ft.

Kingsley explained that the genesis of their request is a difference of opinion as to where the bottom and top of the cloud were on Mike. Maynard talked to Fussell about this at NPG and Fussell indicated they would like to submit a proposal on it.

~~SECRET~~

~~TOP SECRET~~

III. POSITIONING OF WEAPONS BARGE FOR SURFACE SHOT OVER DEEP WATER -
W. Thaler, et al

The plans for placing and mooring the weapons barge as presented by Thaler, Walsh and Fitzpatrick are illustrated in Figs. 1 and 2. Further description of the proposal and comments made by the group are noted below.

Because of the information desired on underwater pressures, the first question considered in working out this proposal was how far from the reef the barge should be positioned in order to get away from reflections of the pressure wave off the reef. That is, they wanted to make sure the arrival time from the bottom refracted ray would not distort the apparent shock wave.

DELETED

DELETED

DELETED

Refraction worries do not enter for the first two stations, but for the third it turns out that (assuming a clean pressure shock wave from the water), if the barge is positioned in 4000-ft-deep water, the bottom refracted wave should arrive 15 msec after the arrival of the initial shock pulse. On this basis they looked at the submarine geology of the southwestern portion of Bikini, again using Crossroads data for the profile of the reef, and the point at which the water is 4000 ft deep is 1-1/2 - 1-3/4 miles offshore.

In the mooring considerations, the barge was assumed to be equivalent to a 500-ton YC. Ogle stated, this is correct on the assumption that we do not have to worry about getting it anchored and then having appreciable weather. The subject calculations have safety factors of 5 to 7 and Thaler felt they could take care of a 1000-ton barge without any strain, should it be that large.

For the stringing out of the shore cable, a calculation was made to see how many flotation buoys would be needed to support it over the surface. A 40-float array was first considered, with a span of 200 ft between floats, considering the tension required to maintain 20-ft sag in the catenary. As noted in Fig. 1, 1-1/4" diameter wire rope will be used, with a breaking strength of 108,000 lbs. They then decided a lesser number of floats would be adequate, went to 20. The total tension required to maintain this catenary all along is 3315 lbs.

The Wigwan people have considered mooring problems and they find that for a 15-knot average wind speed the force exerted on a bare barge is 800 lbs. Thaler did not know how large the weapon cab will be so had not calculated the additional force but stated it is a simple

calculation. For a 1-knot surface current, the force exerted on the barge is only 200 lbs. The net tending people informed him they were quite sure that, except under storm conditions, there would never be sufficient forces even to pull the catenary taut. For higher winds, the force exerted goes up as the square of the velocity. The average wind speed in that area is 20 knots for the time of year we are discussing. Should an extreme of 60 knots occur, the force exerted on the barge would be up a factor of 16 and this would still be all right. Also, this is just for one cable, they plan to run two out (as in Fig. 2).

(Corman stated he has been told the current is sometimes 4 or 5 knots. Thaler said he has not seen a number greater than 2 knots -- this apparently from Crossroads data.)

They intend to put deep sea anchors out at 45° to the reef. Ladd's Crossroads data indicate that during the periods of flood tide, which run for a period of 5.2 hrs every day, there is a 1-knot surface current flowing into these passes toward the lagoon.

ONR has talked to net layer people about the problem of deep sea mooring, and they saw no problem in doing this in 4000-ft-deep water. (Thaler's project put down moors in 3000 ft of water during Ivy; of course they were much smaller so far as size of cable was concerned, etc.)

A calculation was then made of the horizontal tension necessary to stretch the line, allowing 4400 ft of cable which gives a 1.1:1 ratio of cable to water depth. This is again 1-1/4" diameter wire rope, weighing 2-1/2 lbs/ft. It turns out that a force of 5000 lbs is required to straighten the mooring line out, before any lifting on it is started.

There seems to be a difference of opinion as to the condition of the old moors. A teletype from overseas said the chains were rusted and the moorings were gone. Thaler did not think this latter could be true; he explained how they were put in for Bikini, thought perhaps just the chains will have to be replaced.

The deadman construction is something as shown in the sketch.




A 15-ton anchor was put in the bottom of the hole and the whole backed over with concrete. Thaler suggested chipping into the concrete until the first good link of chain is found, attaching the new chain on it, and going on from there. Chain is needed back there rather than cable because they are afraid of chafing on the reef. This would probably be 1-1/2" chain. They would reactivate the deadman, run the chain out as far as one has to, hook the cable on, then begin paying out the mooring line and attaching the flotation buoys as they go.

Ogle expressed worry about tension on the line. Thaler hopes to get more information on the size of barge and weapon cab and the configuration, then calculate the forces with various wind velocities. This is not the final proposal he is presenting now--it just indicates the general direction and how they will calculate it when they get final numbers on size of barge, etc.

For the last 100 ft or so, the net laying people suggested 1-1/2" chain again be used because when the catenary is not tight it lies flat against the bottom. They would then hook into the clump and from there would be another chain going out to the anchor. Kingsley suggested that the longer this chain, the better off one would be in safety. They have not yet decided on the length of anchor chain. It is a sand bottom, so presumably the anchor will bite in all right and the clump should dig itself in also.

Ogle raised the question of the line being so close to vertical that if there is very much motion there is a serious chance of dragging the anchor. Kingsley suggested a scheme as in the sketch, said one could bring the deep sea moor up to a buoy.

Spain thought the buoy would have to be sized so it is submerged and could not be lifted. Ogle felt the sea anchor part should be designed for the case of appreciable wind in the wrong direction. Thaler agreed completely, said they had no intentions of relying on wind predictions. He, and the people he talked to, felt they had enough safety factors here unless there was a serious storm.



Hooper raised the point that the barge will presumably not be put out very long in advance, will be unattended probably for not more than 12 hrs.

As for the possibility of a storm wrecking the buoys before the barge is placed, Thaler stated that the surface area which the wind

~~SECRET~~

forces have to work upon is extremely small for the kind of buoys considered here. He can make some better calculations but thinks they will be safe.

Accuracy to which the barge can be positioned was discussed in some detail. The maximum variation which LASL would like is 50 to 100 ft, because of the fast photography, the station for which will be on Arrikan, essentially perpendicular to the anchor. (The cameras we would like to use have a 40-ft field of view; it is doubted the barge can be positioned that well, On the other hand, should we have to go to cameras with a 300-ft field of view the experiment will have much less value.) As Harver pointed out, the cameras can be positioned after the barge is in place, and one does not care how much motion the barge has in the line of the cameras themselves, it is motion toward and away from the shore which is critical. Thaler and Walsh thought the barge could be positioned fairly accurately, but have not as yet calculated this so have no firm numbers. Walsh said it could be regulated; in order to position the barge one hooks on to one of the telephone buoys that are fastened to the deep moor. The closer the barge is to the telephone buoy and the less the cable, the tighter the barge is positioned. He added that in positioning submarines in shallow water the Navy use what they call a stretched moor, i.e., all lines are tightened, and he thinks at the moment this will probably be necessary here. If one does not do this, he does not think it can be positioned to better than 200 ft.

Harver said HAN has looked into positioning in 180 ft of water, using the same principle. They used a line four times this depth, then 180 ft of chain to the anchor, so that the total length to the anchor was five times the depth of water. Their sinkers were lifted so as to stretch the line and very good positioning was obtained by this method.

Thaler will take the figure of 50 to 100 ft for accuracy of positioning and try to work out their calculations on that basis. (Ogle asked that they try to make it better if they can.)

There are two questions, then, which it is felt need further investigation: (1) How does one make sure the mooring does not get carried away, and (2) How accurately can the barge be positioned.

The discussion then turned to time scales and assignment of responsibility. It is LASL's feeling that since after all we could be in quite serious trouble from the point of view of the whole operation if this particular phase were to fail, we would like to see a test of it essentially just as soon as the barge can be put out there. This must wait until the moors can be fixed and appropriate vessels

00-1000

~~SECRET~~

~~SECRET~~

can be put out there to do the work. It was suggested the tests should be run before construction of the photo blockhouse is started, since if it turned out the experiment cannot be done satisfactorily, the station would not be built. Spain estimated that it will take of the order of three months actual time at the site to get such a station built, this with all the material out there. It appears the test should be done, then, between July and September at the latest. For Thaler, this means getting a net layer and tug out there, and procuring the materials. Most of the material can be procured from the net tending depots in BuOrd; he has an off-the-cuff agreement that they can supply this, but it takes confirmation. As for cost, he thinks he can get it for nothing but is not sure. H&N already has a barge out at Bikini, with a man living on it.

During the rest of the discussion, the following items were listed for attention of the person or agency indicated:

1. Thaler: Restudy positioning barge (gusts to 45 knots).
2. Ogle: Restudy acceptable limit on position.
3. Curry: Place requirement on Task Force for placing barge in early summer.
4. H&N : Provide 500-ton barge when Navy ready to place it.
7.5
5. H&N : Study movements and behavior of barge when positioned.
7.5 Record wind, estimate current, record orientation. Minimum of 2 wks - to include wind conditions comparable to shot time.
6. J-6: Provide Thaler with information on our cables.
7. H&N : Renovation of Deadmen.
7.5
8. H&N : Assist as requested in accomplishment of Item 3.
7.5
9. One extra barge may be required (DOD expense).

Item 1: It is felt that further calculations are necessary on the barge positioning, considering wind loading from gusts up to 45 knots. Thaler stated they can simulate the weapon cab on the barge, so as to calculate the loading forces on it. The barge must be held to a restriction as to rotation, should stay fixed with respect to the system. Ogle thought this restriction should be about 20 or 30°.

~~SECRET~~

Item 2: No particular comment. Ogle felt his number of 50 - 100 ft would still stand. Someone suggested doubling the number of cameras to double the field of view, but Campbell said this would probably square the cost of the station.

Item 3: It appears that the Navy Task Group will be the one responsible for putting out cables, anchors, buoys, etc., with Project 1.4 working out the preliminary scheme of things. At the moment there is no Navy Task Group. Hall thought we would probably stand a reasonable chance of getting an AN and an ATF out there to do the work. Curry will send the request to JTF that the work be done, they will take the necessary action with CNO.

Item 4: No particular comment.

Item 5: A survey party will be needed to study positioning of the barge when it is in place; as noted, H&N will do this work. The winds are worse in the summer than in the spring, so this will be a good test for safety factors but not so good for barge position. Harver said one could duplicate the winds by pushing on the barge with a small boat next to it. Thaler will get the best data available from the Hydrographic Office, which has a man out there now. As for period of this test, Graves thought the minimum is 2 wks, a month is more desirable. Curry stated that the only equipment on the barge at the moment for positioning it, pulling the buoys over, establishing the tension, is a hand winch; if the study indicates something more is indicated it should be planned for. Further discussion ensued as to barge positioning. Once the shore moors and the deep water moor are hooked together, they are never broken; there is a total system, the barge is just hooked on where it is wanted. This is a must, to have the shot barge independent of the moor.

Item 6: Harver brought up the point that H&N must have access to the cable, as they will have to hang submarine cable out to the barge. The weight is serious, since this submarine cable weighs ~ 4 lbs/ft; he will furnish the exact figure. It may mean increasing the size of the flotation buoys, and also considerably more tension of the outboard anchor.

Item 7 and 8: H&N will renovate the deadmen, and once they are renovated the chain must be fastened to the deadmen. Thaler said they would probably need about 500 ft of chain per deadman. It was agreed this latter work will be part of the Navy's placing the barge. They will need some small boat support from H&N; Thaler's people will haul the chain out there, will ask H&N to chip away so they can get at the old chain, etc.

Item 9: Walsh suggested that rather than use a barge which someone else will need later, a separate barge be obtained, because he

~~SECRET~~

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

believes that to maintain a position the line must be stretched and the barge left there, then the shot barge just be brought up next to it. It was agreed that if an extra barge is needed, the DOD will provide it.

Conclusion: The system for mooring the weapons barge presented above is considered to be satisfactory from an operational and safety standpoint (particularly with a tug standing by), subject to the conditions and further work noted in Items 1 through 9, above. Reeves expressed agreement with this, if the barge is independent of the system so that when it is taken away the system is still all right -- he would not buy it any other way. Also, he added, this is subject to ONR's doing further calculations which can be reviewed and checked as to certain conditions of wind and current, drift on barge, etc.

IV. FINANCIAL ARRANGEMENTS

Kingsley reviewed the DOD's financial situation; he cannot make a commitment to supply the extra money this barge shot will require, but is hopeful. A request is now in the Bureau of the Budget for a total of about \$7,361,000. They have about 2.9 million left over from Ivy. General Luedecke refuses to commit more than \$300,000 of extra military funds until the DOD gets this R&D money. Graves did not think this would be termed extra military money, but construction funds, suggested Kingsley should argue this with the AEC. Spain said if the extra military funds can be used for this, it is all right; he thought they could not be used for permanent construction but could be used for test construction.

Kingsley then requested more details on the estimates presented in TG 7.1's TWX J-16685, 3/31/53. Campbell has these, and they will discuss the matter. He would like to have a firm and final figure on how much this will cost the DOD: a firm figure for our estimates, plus the DOD contribution for placing the barge, mooring it, etc., and a promise there will not be any more. However, final cost for stations will not be known until they are built. Spain stated that in the past we have always worked on the principle of paying the actual cost, i.e., he cannot say that should the stations cost more than an estimated figure, the AEC would make up the difference.

IASL feels that the \$550,000 estimate is about the firmest we can give at this time.

Notes Edited By:
W. E. Ogle:djw:ak

Distributions:

- 1 of 12/A - P. W. Clarkson, JTG-7
- 2 of 12/A - J. Reeves, SFO
- 3 of 12/A - H. K. Gilbert, TU-13, DWET, NPG
- 4 of 12/A - M. E. Kingsley, TU-13, AFSWP, Washington, D.C.
- 5 of 12/A - A. C. Graves, (J-Div File) ← *EW*
- 6 of 12/A - D. Curry
- 7 of 12/A - A. W. Kelly, J-1
- 8 of 12/A - P. W. Hooper, J-3
- 9 of 12/A - R. H. Campbell, J-6
- 10 of 12/A - W. E. Ogle
- 11 of 12/A - H. E. Grier, EG&G, Las Vegas, Nev.
- 12 of 12/A - ~~IASI Report Library~~ W.H. BURKE