				10 100
MEMO ROUTE SLIP		See me about this,	Far concurrence	For action.
Form AEC-93 (Rev. May 14, 1947)	AECM 0240	Note and return.	For signature.	For information,
TO (Name and unit)	INITIALS	REMARKS Attached	are Rubin's	note and
Œu Caum	DATE 16 Nov		he correspond	lence to which
TO (Name and unit)	INITIALS	REMARKS ALSO @1	tached even	though only
Clark.	DATE		related, is	\sim $^{\prime}$
TO (Name and unit)	(///)	REMARKS	conding to our	
Asy WOLFF	M.		n the Survey occurs	plan), Containing
FAVA -	Jan		v vesponsibili	/
FROM (Name and unit)	REMARKS	I smooth	el aut -	ale men
TRC	talk	ing part, la	in other	but now
Provis no	buser	I heither a	vac heing	lead like the
PHONE NO. DATE 10/26	ather	USE OTHER SIDE FOR ADDITIONAL		

EPOSITOR	V DOE HISTON DIVISION
OLLECTIO	N RG326 Tonny M((row, Job# 1320
OX No.	
OLDER _	Organization



UNITED STATES ATOMIC ENERGY COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20545

October 13, 1972

Frank A. Camm

Your memorandum of October 6, 1972, to Martin Biles dealing with planning for the Eniwetok cleanup was in my view unnecessarily harsh. I also read part of the last paragraph to mean you wish OS counsel only within their clearly defined area of responsibility.

Why don't we focus, as you suggested, on getting the best job accomplished rather than whose ideas are followed. I would hope that MA is prepared to recognize advice worth accepting and feel perfectly free to reject any that falls within your program responsibility without resorting to this type of correspondence.

Julius H. Rubin



UNITED STATES ATOMIC ENERGY COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20545

SEP 1 5 1972

Major General Frank A. Camm Assistant General Manager for Military Application

ENIWETOK SURVEY

During several meetings these last few days, the Division of Operational Safety has presented comments on the Eniwetok Survey Program. This memorandum is intended to confirm the more significant of these comments.

- 1. Enclosed is a draft copy of the OS recommended plan for a comprehensive radiological survey of Eniwetok Atoll. This, coupled with the marine biology program of BER should provide the basis for evaluating the radiological conditions on all islands of the atoll. Although the survey was designed as a low-key, low-budget effort, it should develop the data necessary for evaluating rehabilitation and planning restoration measures. The development of the plan was a joint effort of NV, OS, and the University of Washington.
- 2. Our experience in the restoration of Bikini Atoll convinces us that the survey team must be made up of multidisciplined technical persons, preferably led by scientists experienced in terrestrial, marine, and radiobiological work in the Pacific Islands. Acceptance of the results of the survey will be enhanced if the team is recognized by their peers in the scientific community as including leading independent experts in the study of effects of nuclear tests on the marine environment. The staff of the University of Washington has this experience and qualifications dating back to the Crossroads in 1946. A competent scientist from the University of Washington should play a prominent role in directing this survey.
- 3. The most difficult and controversial long-range problem is the dose assessment. This is primarily a responsibility of BER; however, its success is dependent on having the proper input from the radiological surveys. A recommendation regarding rehabilitation of the atoll cannot be made until thorough estimates are made and carefully reviewed. As you know, Dr. Burr noted that BER will probably ask an outside group, such as the National Academy of Sciences, to review these assessments. The

increased public awareness and concern for the effects of low-level radioactivity on the environment and man almost guarantee that the results, conclusions and recommendation will be subject to close public scrutiny.

- 4. NV has assigned the lead technical role for the cleanup to LLL. However, we believe that it is important to take advantage of the extensive experience in plutonium contamination in various environmental situations, and the long standing studies of the biological effects of plutonium, which have been done at LASL.
- 5. We understand that there is to be a meeting at LLL on September 19-20, 1972, to review the draft radiological plan, and to develop a final plan for the survey. We plan to have appropriate representatives at the meeting to provide input and approve the plan. To minimize the possibility of oversights, we recommend that another review of the program be made after the survey team returns.
- 6. Under the authority delegated to this division, I consider the following to be our responsibilities:
 - a. to provide the radiological cleanup criteria and guidelines and the overall exposure criteria for the cleanup operation;
 - b. to provide technical advice and assistance to MA on the adequacy of the survey and cleanup plans; and
 - c. to assist BER in making the overall dose assessment based upon the data obtained from the radiological survey of the entire atoll.

Martin B. Biles, Director Division of Operational Safety

cc w/encl:

Maj. Gen. E. B. Giller, AGMNS

J. H. Rubin, AGMES

J. R. Totter, Dir., BER

Capt. W. W. Gay, MA